IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE SIXTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA IN AND FOR PASCO COUNTY CASE NO. 2014CF5586CFAXWS

Plaintiff,
-VSADAM MATOS,

Defendant.

PROCEEDINGS: JURY TRIAL JURY SELECTION

BEFORE: HONORABLE MARY HANDSEL

Circuit Judge

DATE: November 1, 2017

PLACE TAKEN: Pasco County Government Center

7530 Little Road

New Port Richey, FL 34654

REPORTED BY: Maria A. Fortner, RPR

Notary Public

State of Florida at Large

VOLUME VIII

Pages 1000 - 1153

Administrative Office of the Courts
Court Reporting Department
West Pasco Judicial Center
7530 Little Road
New Port Richey, FL 34654
Tel. (727) 847-8156

Fax: (727)847-8159

1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE STATE OF FLORIDA:
4	
5	CHRISTOPHER LABRUZZO, Assistant State Attorney BRYAN SARABIA, Assistant State Attorney JOSEPH LAWHORNE, Assistant State Attorney
6	Office of Bernie McCabe, State Attorney Sixth Judicial Circuit, Pasco County
7	7530 Little Road New Port Richey, Fl 34655
8	APPEARING ON BEHALF OF
9	THE DEFENDANT, ADAM MATOS:
10	DEAN LIVERMORE, Assistant Public Defender WILLIAM PURA, Assistant Public Defender
11	NICHOLAS MICHAILOS, Assistant Public Defender CATHERINE GARRETT, Assistant Public Defender
12	EULOGIO VIZCARRA, Assistant Public Defender Office of Bob Dillinger, Public Defender
13	-
14	New Port Richey, Fl 34655
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS - CONTINUED	
2		PAGES
3	VOLUME VIII	
4	(NOVEMBER 1, 2017)	
5		
6		
7	VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION	1005
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

2	THE COURT: My JA is bringing up the four
3	people that we need to speak to.
4	MR. LABRUZZO: All right.
5	THE COURT: And she will be coming in the back
6	door when she's ready.
7	For the record, Mr. Matos is here with his
8	lawyers. The State is here. They've all been here
9	throughout.
10	And we're going to bring up the four jurors
11	who commented about their personal religious
12	beliefs.
13	And we've got some questions. We had two
14	jurors write out questions for me.
15	All right. We have an additional juror. Wow,
16	this is interesting. Sarah Nystrom, N-y-s-t-r-o-m.
17	Let me find that person. Yes. Juror Number 25.
18	She was brought up.
19	The note that she wrote out said, "After
20	consulting my pastor, I gave no details of the case
21	or any specific situation." So she didn't talk
22	about the case. I guess she just called her pastor
23	about and then she said, "I am against the death
24	penalty due to my Christian beliefs. Thank you".
25	So I guess she called her pastor and now she's

```
1
          against the death penalty, based on what I said
          earlier. So she is in there with the four. So now
 2
          we have five.
 3
               And then I got another note from Ms. Thomas.
          "I called my co-workers to confirm clinic coverage
 5
          and to make sure she is not taking vacation.
 6
          informed me she is having difficulty finding
 7
 8
          coverage for my clinic".
 9
               So Ms. Thomas was the one who yesterday
10
          thought she could work it out. So if you all want
11
          to ask her any further questions when she came
12
          back. I'm not going to bring her up. She was
          brought back from yesterday. She's Juror
13
14
          Number 88.
15
               So Juror Number 88 on the seating chart is
16
          saying that she's now having difficulty finding
          coverage for her clinic. It's her clinic. I
17
18
          remember her talking about that. So you all can
19
          ask her those questions.
20
               Are we ready to bring in the four who had
21
          specific issues on the death penalty?
22
               MR. LABRUZZO: Yes, Judge.
23
               MR. LIVERMORE: Yes.
24
               THE COURT: All right. And so the first one I
```

have is, the first person who raised their hand

anyway, who's the pastor, Juror Number 19,

1

25

```
Mr. Otamendi. Mr. Juan Otamendi. It's
 2
          O-t-a-m-e-n-d-i.
 3
               MR. LABRUZZO: I think it's Otamendi.
               THE COURT: Otamendi. Okay.
 5
 6
               Good afternoon, sir. How are you?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: I'm doing well.
 7
 8
          Thank you.
 9
               THE COURT: You don't have to sit in your
10
          actual seat. You can just sit in one of the pews
11
          up front.
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Okay.
13
               THE COURT: And the reason you're here is
14
          based on your answer earlier today about your
15
          feelings about the death penalty and whether you
16
          could listen to the instructions and hear any
17
          mitigating and aggravating circumstances.
18
               And your answer to that was, no, that
19
          unequivocally, no matter what my instructions were,
20
          that under no circumstances based on your belief
21
          could you impose the death penalty. Do I hear you
22
          correctly?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: You heard me
24
          correctly, yes.
```

THE COURT: Okay. State, do you have any

questions?

```
MR. LABRUZZO: Yes, Your Honor.
 2
               Good afternoon, sir.
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Good afternoon,
 4
 5
          sir.
               MR. LABRUZZO: Just following up on the
 6
 7
          Court's questions.
 8
               If you were selected as a juror in this case,
          and you reach a verdict unanimously as to quilt as
 9
          to murder in the first degree, is it based on your
10
11
          beliefs that at that point there's only a life
          sentence? You would not consider listening to any
12
          of the aggravating factors that may be discussed or
13
14
          mitigating circumstances that may be discussed?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: I will not
15
16
          partake on the death penalty. That would be my
17
          personal choice. Whatever the rest of the jury
18
          will do, it's not for me to say.
19
               MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: But I couldn't.
21
          I don't know if that answers your question.
22
               MR. LABRUZZO: It does. It does. It does.
23
               If the law were to require you to listen and
24
          participate, you're just saying, I can't follow it,
25
          I can't be part of that law.
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: I can listen. I

1

25

```
can participate. But I just want to make clear
 2
 3
          that my decision at the end of day will always
 4
          oppose the death penalty.
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right.
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: So however that
 6
          works. I'm kind of new to all of this. So that
 7
 8
         would be my answer.
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you.
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Thank you.
10
11
               THE COURT: Mr. Pura, my understanding is you
         would like to speak to the juror?
12
              MR. PURA: Yes, ma'am.
13
14
              THE COURT: Okay.
15
              MR. PURA: Mr. Otamendi.
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Yes, sir.
17
              MR. PURA: Good afternoon, sir.
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Good afternoon.
19
              MR. PURA: First of all, I respect your
20
         position. Many people share your position. I want
21
         you to know I'm not in any way trying to change
22
         that. Okay?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Yes, sir.
24
              MR. PURA: But I want to talk about the law,
```

because, you know, I'm not sure that you know

```
exactly where the law falls on this issue. I
 1
          assume you've never been in a capital case before?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: No, sir.
 3
              MR. PURA: You've never been in a position to
 4
          have to decide whether someone should live or die;
 5
         is that accurate?
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Right. Quite to
 8
          the contrary.
              MR. PURA: Okay. Did you know that the law
 9
10
          never requires, never requires the death penalty?
11
         Did you know that?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: No, sir.
12
13
              MR. PURA: I believe you will hear that from
14
          Judge Handsel. The law never requires the death
15
          penalty. If you were picked as a juror on a death
16
         penalty case, you would never be put in a position
17
         of having to vote for death against your personal
18
          judgment.
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Okay.
20
              MR. PURA: I think the law is only satisfied
21
         with a life verdict. Okay. Judge Handsel, she's
22
          got no dog in that fight. She would be perfectly
23
          satisfied with a life verdict. Okay. In fact, the
24
          only people seeking death are the prosecutors.
```

Okay? Do you understand that?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Yes, sir.
2	MR. PURA: Okay. And I want to talk a little
3	bit about the difference between the guilt phase
4	part of the trial and the penalty phase of the
5	trial.
6	Have you ever been a juror in any trial
7	before?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: No, sir.
9	MR. PURA: Well, you probably know in a guilt
10	phase a jury is asked to try and reach a unanimous
11	verdict. In a guilt phase a jury is asked to
12	attempt to reach a unanimous verdict on a
13	defendant's guilt or not guilty. Do you understand
14	that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Yes, sir.
16	MR. PURA: You realize in a penalty phase it's
17	entirely different. The law requires each
18	individual juror to reach their own individual
19	verdicts as to the appropriate sentence. Okay. So
20	there's 12 different verdicts based on each
21	individual juror's moral judgment. Do you
22	understand that?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Yes, sir.
24	MR. PURA: And that jurors in the penalty
25	phase are never required to agree with any of the

```
other jurors about their own moral judgments.
 1
         Okay? And a juror, any juror can pick a mitigating
 2
          circumstance, any reason to vote for life. All
 3
          right. And any juror can give that mitigating
 4
          circumstance the weight of life and that juror
 5
          doesn't have to explain that to anybody. Okay?
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Okay.
 7
 8
              MR. PURA: Okay. So as to the ultimate issue
          on whether somebody should be sentenced to death or
 9
10
         life without the possibility of parole, you
11
         understand the law doesn't offer any answers, any
12
         keys to that ultimate question?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Okay.
13
14
              MR. PURA: That is left to each individual
15
          juror. Okay? Now, I think you'll agree with me
16
          that you've heard that defendants in criminal
17
          trials have a right to a jury of their peers? You
18
          heard that expression before?
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Yes.
20
              MR. PURA: Do you agree that that sounds fair?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Yes, sir.
22
              MR. PURA: And presumably a jury of his peers
23
         would be some sort of a cross-section of the
24
          community, a representative of the community,
25
         right?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Yes.

2	MR. PURA: And would you agree that it would
3	not be fair to a criminal defendant if people were
4	prevented from serving on his jury because of their
5	race or religion, their gender? That wouldn't be
6	fair to that defendant, right?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Correct.
8	MR. PURA: So would you also agree that a
9	defendant in a capital case who is facing the
10	possibility of a death sentence, that it would not
11	be fair to that defendant if the jury were only
12	made up of people that were in favor of the death
13	penalty?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Correct.
15	MR. PURA: Would you agree that that wouldn't
16	be very fair to him?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Yes, sir.
18	MR. PURA: Now, many people have a moral
19	religious opposition to the death penalty and they
20	have served on capital juries. It doesn't by
21	itself disqualify them from serving on a capital
22	jury. The issue is whether you can follow the law.
23	Okay? And the law isn't asking you to make a
24	decision now. You haven't heard enough evidence to
25	make a decision one way or the other no matter

what your position is regarding the death penalty.

```
Would you agree?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Agree.
 3
              MR. PURA: Okay. The law only expects that
 4
          you give meaningful consideration to both penalty
 5
 6
          options, okay, and base your ultimate decision on
 7
         your moral values. Okay.
 8
               Now, you are a minister in a church. Is that
          a Christian church?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Yes, sir.
10
11
              MR. PURA: So you preach Jesus as gospel?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Yes.
              MR. PURA: And Jesus says to love your
13
14
          enemies?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Yes.
15
16
              MR. PURA: Would you agree that Jesus does not
          instruct us to love the devil?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: Yes.
18
19
              MR. PURA: Okay. Can you imagine a
20
          situation -- let me present you with a hypothetical
21
          situation. You are on a jury and the defendant is
22
          charged with first-degree murder, 20 people, and
23
          during the course of the trial you learned that 20
24
          people were first grade students in a classroom
25
          slaughtered. The only reason it wasn't 21 is
```

because a little girl played dead amongst her dead

1

25

```
friends. Okay?
 2
               And during the course of the trial you learn
 3
          that that defendant planned that for a long time
 4
 5
          for no reason. He wanted to experience the
 6
          experience of slaughtering children. And you also
 7
          learn that awaiting trial he's writing letters in
 8
          jail expressing how much pleasure he got out of
         that act and how he would do it again if given the
 9
10
         opportunity.
11
               Would you consider that person to be an
          embodiment of the devil?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: No, sir.
13
14
              MR. PURA: No?
15
               So that person, you would not be able to even
16
          consider? I'm not saying push the button and vote
17
          for death. Just to give meaningful consideration
18
          that death is a possible sentence in that
19
          situation? You wouldn't be able to do that?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR OTAMENDI: No, sir.
21
               MR. PURA: Okay. Thank you.
22
               THE COURT: State, any further questions?
23
               MR. PURA: Not from the Defense.
24
              MR. LABRUZZO: No, Your Honor.
```

THE COURT: All right. Sir, thank you very

1

25

much. I'm going to have step out. I know these

```
are difficult questions and I appreciate you stood
 2
         there and answered them all as best as you could.
 3
          We will go ahead and have you step out and we'll
 4
          give you further instructions. Okay? Thank you.
 5
 6
               State.
 7
               MR. LABRUZZO: Judge, the State would move for
 8
          cause on Mr. Otamendi. Even though he acknowledges
 9
          that the law requires that he consider both sides,
          that he's indicated his unwillingness to do that.
10
11
               THE COURT: Defense?
12
              MR. PURA: No argument.
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Otamendi, that's
13
14
          on seat number 19, will be excused for cause.
15
               The next juror that will come in is Keetssy
16
          Santana.
17
               THE BAILIFF: Yes, Your Honor.
18
               THE COURT: Good afternoon, ma'am. How are
19
         you?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Good.
                                                  How are
21
         you?
22
               THE COURT: Ms. Santana, I have a few
23
          questions for you and then both sides have some
24
          questions. And we're trying to do this privately,
```

you know, because this is kind of a private matter.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: It is.
2	THE COURT: And when I read the instruction
3	earlier and I indicated that if you were picked as
4	a juror and you did find beyond a reasonable doubt
5	the defendant committed one of the four
6	first-degree murders, if you were seated as a juror
7	for the second phase, that you would have to
8	consider, consider mitigating circumstances and
9	aggravating factors.
10	First you'd have to find that they exist and
11	then you'd have to consider them, weighing them,
12	and making a decision. And you said that you did
13	not feel that you could ever consider the
14	consideration of the death penalty in any matter;
15	is that correct?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Correct.
17	THE COURT: And is that no matter how heinous
18	the facts may be or the amount of victims or the
19	facts of any case, in no circumstances could you
20	ever seek or find that death would be an
21	appropriate sentence?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Correct.
23	THE COURT: No matter what instruction I read
24	you, no matter what I told you, no matter what you
25	heard from the first phase of how the offense

1

occurred, in your mind you cannot see a situation

```
where you could overcome your beliefs to follow the
 2
          law and seek death that would be an appropriate
 3
         sentence in any case; is that correct?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Correct.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. State, any questions?
 6
              MR. LABRUZZO: Good afternoon, ma'am.
 7
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Good afternoon.
               MR. LABRUZZO: I just want to ask you how is
 9
          it that you come to that belief?
10
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: My Christian
12
         beliefs.
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. And how long have you
13
14
         had those beliefs?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Probably around 11
15
16
          years.
17
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right. And kind of just
18
          following up with what the Court just asked you.
19
               If the Court were to instruct you that the law
20
          is that someone who would be selected as a juror,
21
         if the defendant were to be found guilty of murder
22
          in the first degree, that as part of the steps that
23
         the Court has outlined, and that one of those steps
24
          there's a weighing of an aggravating factor versus
25
          a mitigating circumstance. Okay?
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Uh-huh.
2	MR. LABRUZZO: If the law were to tell you
3	that if you're selected as a juror, you would have
4	to assure both sides that you would participate in
5	the weighing of those two things. Okay? Follow me
6	so far?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: I follow you.
8	MR. LABRUZZO: I'm just trying to find and
9	make sure that it's crystal clear to me that based
10	on your religious beliefs, that you would not
11	participate in that process because you would
12	not because of your beliefs on the death
13	penalty, you would not view the death penalty,
14	death as an appropriate sentence and, therefore,
15	you would not participate and follow the law as it
16	relates to that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: The death penalty
18	will never be one of my options. If it's life,
19	that's one thing. But the death penalty would not
20	be one of my options.
21	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. And I got you. I
22	guess, I'm trying to be a little bit more clear.
23	That if the law tells you you have to do something
24	to get to that stage of consideration, you're
25	saying, I'm not even going to consider what the law

1	is?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: I won't do it.
3	MR. LABRUZZO: You won't do it?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: No.
5	MR. LABRUZZO: Thank you, ma'am.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Uh-huh.
7	THE COURT: Defense?
8	MR. PURA: Good afternoon, Ms. Santana.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Good afternoon.
10	MR. PURA: Have you ever served on a jury
11	before?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: No.
13	MR. PURA: And I assume you've never been put
14	in a situation where you have to decide whether
15	someone would live or die?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Definitely not.
17	MR. PURA: Okay. Did you know that the law
18	never requires a death verdict, never requires a
19	death verdict?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: I didn't know
21	that.
22	MR. PURA: And that if you were picked as a
23	juror, you would never be put in a position that
24	you have to vote for death against your personal
25	judgment?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: I didn't know
2	that.
3	MR. PURA: That a juror can find mitigation in
4	anything that juror thinks is important and is life
5	giving, you know, shows that life is the
6	appropriate verdict, a juror can find any
7	mitigation anywhere and give that mitigation the
8	weight of life?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Okay.
10	MR. PURA: And that juror does not have to
11	explain themself to anybody. Do you understand
12	that?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: I understand.
14	MR. PURA: Okay. There are two parts to a
15	death penalty trial. The first part is the guilt
16	or innocence phase where you're asked to reach a
17	unanimous verdict if you could with the other
18	jurors. Okay. But in a penalty phase, in a death
19	case, the law instructs you that 12 individual
20	jurors reach 12 individual verdicts based on their
21	individual moral judgments.
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Okay.
23	MR. PURA: Their walk of life, their
24	background, all the things that made them who they
25	are as individuals, they are asked to reach an

individual verdict on whether life or death is an

```
appropriate sentence.
 2
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Okay.
               MR. PURA: So there's no such thing as a hung
 4
 5
          jury.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Okay.
 6
 7
               MR. PURA: If one person choose life, the
 8
         verdict will be life.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Okay.
 9
10
               MR. PURA: Okay. Now, would you agree that
11
          defendants are entitled to a trial by a jury of
          their peers?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Yes.
13
14
               MR. PURA: So presumably their peers would be
15
          generally representative of their community?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Correct.
16
               MR. PURA: Okay. And it wouldn't be fair to a
17
18
          defendant if certain people were excluded or
19
          prevented from serving on a jury, for example,
20
          women or Catholics or things like that? It
         wouldn't be fair to that defendant to exclude
21
22
         certain people, right?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Correct.
24
               MR. PURA: So would you agree that in a
25
          capital case where a defendant is facing the
```

possibility of a death sentence, it wouldn't be

2	fair to him to have a jury consisting solely of
3	people who were in favor of the death penalty,
4	right?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Absolutely.
6	Uh-huh. Correct.
7	MR. PURA: So I understand your moral feelings
8	and opposition to the death penalty. We would like
9	you to serve on this jury, but you have to be able
10	to follow the law and put aside your personal
11	opinion long enough to follow the law, listen to
12	the Judge's instructions, give meaningful
13	consideration to both penalty options, and
14	ultimately reach a decision by yourself based on
15	your own personal moral judgment. Okay.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Okay.
17	MR. PURA: Okay. Now, what about a situation
18	where somebody slaughters 20 first graders for the
19	mere pleasure of it, and he survives it, and you
20	learn from the jail he's writing letters talking
21	about how much he enjoyed it and that he would do
22	it again. There's no mental illness involved.
23	He's just simply a cold-blooded killer.
24	In that situation would you be able to
25	consider I'm not saying push the death button

1

25

death penalty.

```
I'm saying in that situation would you be able to
          consider death as a possible sentence?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: No.
 3
              MR. PURA: Thank you, ma'am.
 4
               THE COURT: All right. Ma'am, we'll have you
 5
          step out and my bailiffs will give you further
 6
 7
          instructions. Okay?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Uh-huh.
              THE COURT: Thank you.
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR SANTANA: Thank you.
10
11
              THE COURT: State, as to Juror Number 33?
              MR. LABRUZZO: Judge, the State would move for
12
13
         a cause challenge.
14
              THE COURT: Defense?
15
              MR. PURA: No argument.
16
               THE COURT: All right. Juror Number 33 is
          excused. She can be released.
17
18
              Next I have Mr. Rogelio Rodriguez.
19
              Good afternoon, sir?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Hello.
21
               THE COURT: We're going to have you sit in the
22
          front row. Mr. Rodriguez, you're back individually
23
          to talk about the last thing we talked about, which
24
          is your personal beliefs on the imposition of the
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
2	THE COURT: We talked a little bit about it
3	the other day. I brought it up again. We're going
4	to talk about it individually because this is an
5	individual type of situation. We wanted you to be
6	able to express your views without worrying about
7	anyone else.
8	Earlier I asked if you could and I laid out
9	a little bit of how you would, if you were picked
10	as a juror in the second phase, go about making a
11	determination if someone is convicted of murder in
12	the first degree, what sentence the jury would give
13	the Court. Each individual juror makes their own
14	decision. We talked about that.
15	And my question was to you as a person is
16	there any situation or any amount of facts that you
17	could consider that would ever have you recommend
18	anything but life in prison?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: No.
20	THE COURT: Okay. And so no matter how
21	heinous or cruel or massive the death and how
22	guilty the defendant was and no matter how
23	aggravating the murder may have been, in your mind,
24	based on your personal beliefs, you do not see a
25	situation where you would ever consider

1

25

```
recommending to the Court, you individually, death
         as an option?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: No.
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. State?
 4
              MR. LABRUZZO: Good afternoon, sir.
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon.
 6
 7
               MR. LABRUZZO: I just want to clarify and just
 8
         make sure I understand your answers to the Court.
         Okay?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
10
11
               MR. LABRUZZO: As the Court described for you,
12
          that there is a procedure if the jury finds
         unanimously that the defendant is guilty of murder
13
14
         in the first degree. There is a second phase, as
15
         the Court described, where there's multiple steps
16
          of inquiry that a jury would have to take on.
17
         Okay?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ:
18
                                            Yes.
19
               MR. LABRUZZO: And the Court is going to
20
          instruct you on what steps you have to do. Okay.
21
         And part of those steps are the weighing of the
22
          aggravating factors and the mitigating
23
          circumstances. Okay?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes, sir.
```

MR. LABRUZZO: And so the Court is going to

1

25

describe for you and instruct you on the law as to

```
what you have to do and is going to tell you what
 2
         are the aggravating factors and what are the
 3
         mitigating circumstances. Okay?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
 5
               MR. LABRUZZO: I'm going to suggest to you
 6
 7
          that anything can be mitigation and very specific
 8
          of what aggravating can be. Okay?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
 9
               MR. LABRUZZO: Do you follow me so far?
10
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
12
               MR. LABRUZZO: My question to you is that if
         the Court tells you this is the procedure you have
13
14
         to follow, based on your religious beliefs are you
15
         indicating to the Court that you will not follow
16
          the procedure? It is an automatic life sentence
17
         for you?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
18
19
               MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. No further questions,
20
          Judge.
21
               THE COURT: Defense, do you have any questions
22
          for the juror?
23
               MR. PURA: Just a few questions. Sir, good
24
         afternoon.
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Good afternoon.

```
1
              MR. PURA: Now, I just want to make sure you
          know what the law is regarding the death penalty.
 2
         Okay?
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
 4
              MR. PURA: You've made your position clear. I
 5
 6
          think we all respect that. I'm certainly not up
 7
          here trying to get you to try to change that
 8
          opinion. Okay?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
 9
10
              MR. PURA: But you do understand, sir, that
11
          the law never, ever requires a death verdict in any
12
          situation?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
13
14
              MR. PURA: Not in this case, not in the worse
15
          case that you can imagine, the law never requires a
          death verdict.
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
17
18
              MR. PURA: Okay. The law is always satisfied
         with a life verdict.
19
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
21
              MR. PURA: Okay. Now, Judge Handsel, she
22
          doesn't have an issue, she doesn't have a dog in
23
          that fight. She would be perfectly satisfied with
24
         a life sentence. Okay?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Okay.

```
1
              MR. PURA: In fact, the only the people
          seeking death are the prosecutors. All right?
 2
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Okay.
              MR. PURA: Okay. In any death penalty trial,
 4
          as you've already been told, is separated into two
 5
          parts. The first part the jury is asked to try to
 6
 7
          reach a unanimous decision on whether the defendant
 8
          is guilty or not. Okay?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
 9
10
              MR. PURA: So they talk about it to try to
11
          reach an agreement on the important facts. And if
12
          they can't reach a unanimous decision either quilty
          or not quilty, it's a hung jury and a mistrial, and
13
14
          they've got to do it all over again. Okay?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
15
16
              MR. PURA: But in a penalty phase of the
17
          trial, we have a penalty trial, it's completely
18
          different. The law instructs the jury to reach 12
          individual verdicts based on 12 individual moral
19
20
          judgments.
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
22
              MR. PURA: Okay. And the jurors don't have to
23
          agree with one another.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
24
```

MR. PURA: All right. They don't even have to

Τ	explain themselves to one another. Okay?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
3	MR. PURA: Any juror can find a mitigating
4	factor which leans towards life which justifies a
5	life sentence and that that juror case base a life
6	verdict just on that one factor.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
8	MR. PURA: Even if that juror thinks that
9	there are aggravators that outweigh that mitigating
10	factor, that juror could still vote for life.
11	Okay? Do you understand that?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
13	MR. PURA: Okay. Now, would you agree that a
14	defendant is entitled to a jury of his peers?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
16	MR. PURA: And presumably the jury would be
17	representative of his community?
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Uh-huh.
19	MR. PURA: Right?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
21	MR. PURA: And it wouldn't be fair to him if
22	women were excluded from being on this jury, right?
23	I mean, would it be fair to him if certain people
24	were prevented from serving on this jury based on
25	their religion? Their race?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: No.
2	MR. PURA: No. It wouldn't be fair to them,
3	right?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: No.
5	MR. PURA: And would you also agree that a
6	person who is facing the possibility of death, it
7	wouldn't be fair to him to have the jury stacked
8	with people who only favor the death penalty, who
9	only with people who favor the death penalty? That
10	wouldn't be fair to him either, right?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Correct.
12	MR. PURA: Now, there are many people who
13	oppose the death penalty for religious or
14	philosophical reasons that have been qualified to
15	serve on capital juries. Okay. That alone does
16	not prevent them from serving on a jury. The issue
17	is whether you can listen to the instructions from
18	the Judge, give meaningful consideration to both
19	penalty options, but reach the ultimate decision
20	based on your own personal moral judgment.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Exactly.
22	MR. PURA: Okay?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
24	MR. PURA: Are you capable of doing that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.

MR. PURA: Thank you.

```
THE COURT: Do you have any further questions
 2
 3
          of the witness?
               MR. LABRUZZO: No, Your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: Sir, I just want to make sure,
 5
 6
         based on your last answer.
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
 8
               THE COURT: So based on what the Defense has
          talked to you about, you believe that if you were
 9
10
          given a choice between life in prison or the death
11
          penalty, you could put aside your personal
12
          opposition to the death penalty and consider it as
         an option in this case?
13
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: So what is the
15
          purpose of me being a religious man and I have to
16
         put that aside.
17
               THE COURT: I'm not attacking you. I was just
18
          trying to clarify the question.
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Okay. I don't
20
          think so.
21
               THE COURT: I'm asking. There's many
22
          religious people who say, I understand what my
23
          religion says, but I will follow the law and I will
24
         consider it as an option. Whatever your answer is
25
         at the end is your answer.
```

There's many people who say there is no

1

25

my own decision.

```
situation that I could ever consider in my mind
 2
 3
         that would have me reach the death penalty as an
 4
         option.
               You can only answer that for me. I can't
 5
          answer that for you. That's your decision.
 6
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
 8
               THE COURT: So is there any situation that you
          could consider where you think that you would vote
 9
          for the death penalty?
10
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: No.
12
              THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. We'll have
         you wait in --
13
14
              MR. PURA: Can I ask a follow-up on those
15
         questions?
              THE COURT: Sure.
16
17
              MR. PURA: You wouldn't be voting for the
18
          death penalty. You would just be reaching your own
19
          decision.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
20
21
              MR. PURA: The law doesn't tell you to vote
22
          one way or the other. It only ask you to give
23
         consideration.
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: I have to cast
```

1 MR. PURA: Exactly.

25

2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
3	MR. PURA: And nobody is going to question you
4	or challenge you or criticize you. In fact, the
5	law instructs you to reach your own decision based
6	on your own moral judgment.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
8	MR. PURA: Okay. How about a situation where
9	a guy slaughters 58 concertgoers and he survives
10	it, and says that he'd do it again if he had to do
11	it all over. There was no reason for him to do it
12	expect for the experience and the pleasure of
13	slaughtering people. Do you think that person
14	might be someone who you would consider the death
15	penalty?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: To tell you the
17	truth, I don't have the right to take nobody's
18	life. Okay. That's the first thing. My answer
19	is, no.
20	MR. PURA: Well, do you understand, though, in
21	order to sentence somebody to death, it has to be a
22	unanimous verdict amongst all, a unanimous decision
23	amongst all the jurors.
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.

MR. PURA: And in order to vote for life, it

```
could be one juror voting for life, and that
         verdict will be life. It won't be death unless all
 2
          12 jurors agree. So it wouldn't be one person
 3
          deciding death on a person like that. It would
 4
         have to be the entire jury.
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: Yes.
 6
 7
               MR. PURA: It's not solely picking life. If
 8
          you chose life, it takes one juror for it to be
          life.
 9
10
               So in that situation, and again in that
11
          hypothetical situation, worse case scenario where
12
         you have essentially the devil incarnated, would
13
         you be able to at least consider the death penalty
14
          as an option and then ultimately reach your own
          decision based on --
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: No.
16
              MR. PURA: No?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR RODRIGUEZ: No.
18
19
               MR. PURA: Thank you.
20
               THE COURT: Mr. Rodriguez, thank you very
21
         much. We'll have you step outside and we'll give
22
         you further instructions. Okay? Thank you, sir.
23
               State?
24
               MR. LABRUZZO: Judge, the State would move for
25
         cause on Mr. Rodriguez based on his comment to me
```

1

25

the other day and his comment to me today.

```
THE COURT: Defense?
 2
               MR. PURA: No argument, Judge.
 3
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Rodriguez can be
 4
          released. He's a cause challenge.
 5
               MR. LABRUZZO: Judge, if I may also. I didn't
 6
 7
          object. Contemporaneous with the statement from
 8
          Mr. Pura, but on two separate occasions he
          mentioned how the Court would feel about a
 9
          particular verdict as to a recommendation.
10
11
               Two issues with that one, Judge, is I know for
12
          a fact that in the instruction as to the quilt
          phase that there is an instruction about how don't
13
14
          read into how the Court feels about anything and
15
          that the Court's opinion doesn't matter and that
16
          you can't help the jury in their decision. My
17
          recollection is that's also part of the instruction
18
          as to the penalty phase.
19
               I think it's inappropriate to make that,
20
          especially in light of the fact that the law says
21
          that even if the jury gives a unanimous verdict of
22
          death, that the law allows that the Court may
23
          override a death verdict in accordance with the
24
          law. So I just think it's inappropriate to use
```

that statement in any way to suggest how the Court

feels about a particular sentence.

1

2	THE COURT: Mr. Pura.
3	MR. PURA: Well, I mean, I wasn't saying
4	anything about Your Honor's feelings about this.
5	It's just that legally you as a judge, you don't
6	have a position as to the appropriate penalty.
7	THE COURT: Well, my problem, and there wasn't
8	an objection, and we're dealing with an individual
9	juror, so I did not feel it was my place to jump
10	in. But I think it's inappropriate, when the jury
11	panel as a whole comes back, to say anything about
12	how the Judge feels. Leave me out of this.
13	And, number two, I think it may also be
14	inappropriate to say the only people here seeking
15	the death are the lawyers for the State. The
16	lawyers for the State sit as the State of Florida.
17	It would be so inappropriate for them to say the
18	lawyers over there for the defendant.
19	You're not supposed to point to either side,
20	either people. They're not supposed to point to
21	you and talk about you and you're not supposed to
22	point to them and talk about them.
23	So I don't have any problem with you saying
24	that the law does not require them to seek death.

25 That's in the instruction. But leave me and the

```
1
          other side out of this. I don't think that's
          appropriate to say the only people here seeking
 2
          death is the State.
 3
               The State Attorney's Office has filed a piece
 4
 5
          of paper that says death is an option. That's all
          they've done. And there's two options in this
 6
 7
          case: There's life in prison and death. And to
 8
          seek death or to make it an option for the jury to
          decide, they have to file a piece of paper. The
 9
10
          jury makes that decision, period. The State
11
          doesn't make that decision. The jury makes that
12
          decision.
13
               So all I'm asking is when the panel as a whole
14
          comes back, you don't talk about the State and what
15
          they did and you don't talk about me. So if we
16
          just leave that out of the conversation, I think
17
          we'll be doing just fine.
18
               MR. PURA: Judge, a lot of people operate
19
          under the -- they're afraid to displease the judges
20
          in this situation, and they don't want to do
21
          anything to upset the judge. And I know they're
22
          wrong about it, but they often assume that, you
```

25 THE COURT: Then I'll let you say that I have

particular or another.

know, judges are in favor of one result in

23

no dog in the fight.

1

25

```
MR. PURA: Okay.
 2
               THE COURT: I don't mind that. But let's
 3
          leave the State out of it. Okay? There's no
 4
          reason to try to paint one side or the other.
 5
 6
          represent the people of the State of Florida,
 7
          that's their job. And their job is to leave all
 8
          options on the table for the jury to decide.
               So we're talking with people who may be for or
 9
10
          against the death penalty. We'll just say, I don't
11
          have any dog in the fight, it's up to them, they
          don't have to vote for death, they're not required
12
          to vote for death. I'm fine with that. But let's
13
14
          leave pointing to both sides out of this.
15
               MR. PURA: Just to perfect the record, Judge.
16
          I mean, you know, it's not a misstatement of the
17
          law. The State is the one that filed the document
18
          seeking death. So I mean it's not a misstatement
19
          of the law.
20
               THE COURT: But you said the only people
21
          seeking death.
22
               MR. PURA: The only people here seeking death.
23
               THE COURT: Well, the People of the State of
24
          Florida have filed a piece of paper saying it's an
```

option, it's an option for they as the jury to make

1

24

25

a decision. If they come back with life, there's

```
nothing the State can do. That's all they got.
 2
          They got life and they got death. It's their
 3
          decision. So, again, most courts will say it's
 4
          inappropriate to talk about the other side. So
 5
          we're just not going to talk about it.
 6
 7
               MR. PURA: Yes, Your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT: So we have Ms. Laura Maury.
               THE BAILIFF: Yes, Your Honor.
 9
               THE COURT: It's Juror Number 91. Is that who
10
11
          everybody has as the next person?
              MR. LABRUZZO: Yes, Judge.
12
              MR. PURA: Yes.
13
14
               THE COURT: Good afternoon, ma'am. How are
15
         you?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Fine, thanks.
17
               THE COURT: We're just going to have you sit
18
          in the front row.
19
               Ma'am, we had you come back individually just
20
          to talk about the matter we left off before lunch.
21
          It's somewhat of a personal matter, so we wanted to
22
         make sure that you were able to be talked to by
23
         both sides personally and not feel like people are
```

judging you or looking at you.

Originally I read you the instruction.

```
case is a first-degree murder case, and if the jury
 1
          returns a verdict of guilty on any one of the four
 2
          counts of first-degree murder, there will be a
 3
          second phase. And in that phase there is two
 4
          options for each juror to choose, and each juror
 5
          chooses individually, either life or the death
 6
 7
          penalty.
 8
               However, as part of that, each juror must be
          able to look at any aggravating factors and find
 9
10
          first that they exist, and then look at any
11
          mitigating circumstances and see if they exist, and
12
          then make a weighing in their mind, use my
13
          instructions, and then make their individual
14
          decision on whether they would impose the death
15
          penalty or impose life. And it's an individual
16
          decision. It's not like where everybody raises
17
          their hand. Everybody makes their own vote and
18
          then they tally them.
19
               However, from your answer, it seemed to me
20
          that because of your personal beliefs, religious
21
          beliefs that you talked about, that the option of
          the death penalty is not something that you could
22
23
          even consider in any case; is that correct?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes, ma'am.
25
               THE COURT: No matter how horrible the facts
```

```
are, no matter how many aggravating factors there
 1
          are, no matter how little mitigating circumstances
 2
         you might find exist, there is in no situation that
 3
         you can perceive in your mind that would ever allow
 4
         you to take the vote on a piece of paper and say
 5
 6
          the death penalty; is that correct?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: That's correct.
 7
 8
               THE COURT: And that is because of your
          religious beliefs?
 9
10
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes, ma'am.
11
               THE COURT: And so you could not put aside
12
          those religious beliefs and make any determination
         on mitigating factors and -- excuse me, aggravating
13
14
          factors and mitigating circumstances? So that
15
          weighing can't be done because there's no weighing
16
          to be done in your mind; is that correct?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: That's correct.
17
18
               THE COURT: Okay. State.
19
               MR. LABRUZZO: Good afternoon, ma'am. I just
20
          want to just follow up on the Court's inquiry. You
21
         understand the procedure that the Court kind of
          laid out for you? Do you have any questions about
22
23
          that? Do you understand that procedure?
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: I understand.
25
               MR. LABRUZZO: And not to belabor the point,
```

1

25

but what we're concerned about is that based on

```
your religious beliefs, which are your own and
 2
         okay, that you will not be able to follow the law
 3
          as the Court instructs. Okay. And that's what
 4
         you're indicating; is that correct?
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes.
 6
 7
              MR. LABRUZZO: And you would agree with us
 8
          that it's important that the person to be selected
 9
         as a juror in a case like this, that they could
10
         promise us they could follow the law? Do you agree
11
         with that?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: (Indicating.)
12
13
              MR. LABRUZZO: I see you shaking your head.
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes. I agree.
15
              MR. LABRUZZO: You agree.
16
              All right. And you believe because of your
17
         religious beliefs, that you're just not a juror for
18
         this case because if that law is put before you,
19
         you can't follow it?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Right.
21
              MR. LABRUZZO: Is that correct?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes.
23
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you, Your
24
         Honor.
```

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Pura, do you have

1	any questions for the juror?
2	MR. PURA: Yes. Good afternoon.
3	Ms. Maury, I don't want to put you on the
4	spot, but you filled out a questionnaire, a couple
5	of questionnaires. One of them had asked you to
6	rate your feelings about the death penalty on a
7	scale of 1 to 10; do you remember that?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: I do, yes.
9	MR. PURA: And 1 being never and 10 being
10	always in strength; do you remember that?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes.
12	MR. PURA: Do you remember what you circled?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: I believe I said a
14	3, that I was mostly against it. And then after
15	that day on Monday, I went home and talked to my
16	minister about it, and I really could not be put in
17	that situation.
18	MR. PURA: Okay. So at least before you
19	talked to your minister, you conceded that there
20	might be situations in which the death penalty is
21	appropriate?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes.
23	MR. PURA: Now, I want to talk a little bit
24	about the law to make sure you understand what the
25	law is regarding the death penalty. I respect your

1

25

```
position, and I'm not going to try to change your
         views. But did you know that the law never
 2
         requires the death sentence, never? Not in this
 3
          case, not in the worse case scenario that you can
 4
 5
         think of, it never requires a death sentence.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Okay.
 6
              MR. PURA: Did you know that?
 7
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes.
 9
              MR. PURA: And that the law is always
          satisfied with a life verdict. Okay? Do you
10
11
         understand that?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes.
12
13
              MR. PURA: Judge Handsel doesn't have an ax to
14
          grind in that issue. Okay? She doesn't have a
15
         position.
16
              Now, in a trial involving a possible death
17
          penalty, it's split into two parts, as Judge
18
          Handsel explained earlier. The first part the
19
          jurors are asked to try to reach a unanimous
20
         verdict as to whether the defendant is guilty or
21
         not guilty. All right. So you can understand, you
22
          know, you'll be discussing the facts and you're
23
          expected to talk to each other and try to reach a
24
         unanimous verdict, right?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Right.

1	MR. PURA: And if they're unable to do so,
2	there's a hung jury and there's a mistrial and
3	we've got to do it all over again. Okay.
4	But in the penalty phase it's entirely
5	different. The jury is instructed to reach 12
6	individual verdicts. Each juror is instructed to
7	reach his or her own verdict based on his or her
8	own moral judgment. Okay. Do you understand that?
9	Are you with me on that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes.
11	MR. PURA: And that juror can choose life
12	based on a mitigating circumstance, any mitigating
13	circumstance, that person can choose life. And
14	even if that person thinks that the aggravators
15	outnumber the mitigators, that person can still
16	choose life.
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes.
18	MR. PURA: Okay. And that person does not
19	have to explain themselves with anybody? Doesn't
20	have to agree with anybody. Are you with me?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes.
22	MR. PURA: Okay. Now, would you agree that
23	the defendant is entitled to a jury of his peers?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes.
25	MR. PURA: Presumably a cross-section of the

1 community, right?

2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Right.
3	MR. PURA: And it wouldn't be fair to him to
4	exclude people from the jury, to prevent certain
5	people of a certain race or religion or gender, i
6	wouldn't be fair to exclude them from the jury,
7	correct?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Right.
9	MR. PURA: Would you also agree that a
10	defendant who's facing the possibility of a death
11	sentence, it wouldn't be fair to him to stack the
12	jury only with people who favor the death penalty
13	right?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Right.
15	MR. PURA: Now, many people oppose the death
16	penalty, but that doesn't necessarily disqualify
17	them from serving on a capital jury. The issue is
18	whether you're able the set aside your feelings
19	against the death penalty long enough to listen to
20	the Judge's instructions and give meaningful
21	consideration to both options, but in the end
22	you're instructed to reach your own decision as to
23	the appropriate sentence based on your own moral
24	judgment. You understand?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes.

1	MR. PURA: Are you able to do that?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes.
3	MR. PURA: Thank you.
4	MR. LABRUZZO: So, ma'am, I just want to go
5	back, because just a few minutes ago we were
6	talking about it, and I understand his explanation
7	of it, but when we were speaking, you were
8	indicating to us based on your religious beliefs
9	you could not follow the law and participate in
10	that discussion. I was correct that's what you did
11	say earlier, correct?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes. With my
13	individual opinion, I would not be comfortable
14	giving someone the death penalty.
15	MR. LABRUZZO: And I understand it's because
16	of that, your opinion of it, and that's what we
17	discussed, that you said that you would be unable
18	to participate in the discussion if it was about
19	aggravating factors and mitigating circumstances,
20	because in your mind that's not a procedure you
21	want to take place in; is that correct?
22	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes.
23	MR. LABRUZZO: If the law says that you have
24	to do that, you're saying I'm not going to
25	participate in that?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes.

2	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. I have no further
3	questions, Judge.
4	MR. PURA: May I, Judge?
5	THE COURT: Sure.
6	MR. PURA: Ms. Maury, you said that you would
7	be unable to give someone the death penalty. Do
8	you understand the law never requires you or
9	anybody else to give a defendant the death penalty?
10	THE COURT: We just went over that, Counsel.
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes.
12	MR. PURA: Okay. I understand. That's what
13	you said, and I just need you to understand that
14	you would never be put in a situation to vote for
15	death against your own personal judgment. Did you
16	know that?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: Yes.
18	MR. PURA: So would you be able to give
19	meaningful consideration let me put you in a
20	situation where you have a fellow that's
21	essentially the embodiment of the devil, slaughters
22	22 first grade students for the sheer pleasure of
23	it. Okay. He survived it and used to brag about
24	it saying that he would do it again if he had an
25	opportunity to do it again just because he enjoyed

```
watching these first graders die a violent death.
               Would that be a situation in which you might
 2
         be able to consider? I'm not saying give the death
 3
         penalty, push the button for the death penalty, but
 4
          to consider the possibility of a death sentence in
 5
          that situation?
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: No. I don't think
 7
 8
          it's ever appropriate to give a death sentence.
              MR. PURA: But you didn't feel that way
 9
10
          yesterday, right?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR MAURY: The other day, when
11
12
          I was thinking about it, no. But after considering
          it for the past few days, that was on my mind, I
13
14
         could not do that.
15
              MR. PURA: I understand. Thank you, ma'am.
16
               THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am. I'm going to
17
         have you step into the hallway and we'll give you
18
          further directions. Okay? Thank you.
19
               State.
20
              MR. LABRUZZO: Judge, the State would move for
21
         cause on Ms. Maury.
22
              THE COURT: Defense?
23
              MR. PURA: No argument.
24
              THE COURT: All right. She can be released.
25
              And then we have Sarah Nystrom, who wasn't
```

1

2

18

19

20

```
sheet, Seat 25.
               Good afternoon, ma'am.
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Hi.
 4
               THE COURT: If I can have you come to the
 5
 6
          front of the podium there and have a seat. You can
 7
         have a seat in the front row.
 8
               I really appreciate you going ahead and giving
         us this note. It makes things a whole lot easier
 9
         for us.
10
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Yes.
12
               THE COURT: And your note says that originally
13
         you didn't raise your hand when the whole group was
14
         here. You did after you left here consult with
15
         your pastor.
16
               What religious belief are you?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Christian. And I
17
```

originally one of the jurors. She's 25 on your

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Uh-huh. 21

You were able to get ahold of him?

go to a Methodist church.

22 THE COURT: And you were clear that you didn't

THE COURT: Okay. And so it's a local pastor?

- 23 give him any details? You didn't tell him what
- 24 case it was on?
- 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Exactly.

1	THE COURT: Didn't tell him anything about the
2	facts?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Right.
4	THE COURT: I assume that you were just
5	seeking some sort of guidance for yourself?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Yes.
7	THE COURT: Because it's a pretty heavy
8	question that we ask.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Right.
10	THE COURT: And I understand that.
11	Now, you understand that, and you will be
12	told, that the decision, if you get there, to make
13	a recommendation to the Court, each individual
14	juror does it themselves?
15	It's not like the voting part. It's more
16	individual. It not like the first part where you
17	vote and you keep voting and then you try to figure
18	out you know, you have to come to a unanimous
19	decision one way or another.
20	If the decision of the jury is life, it is a
21	secret vote. I have no idea who voted what. I
22	have no idea what the count is. No one knows.
23	Only you would know and you wouldn't have to tell
24	anybody.

However, to participate as a juror, you have

1	to be able to consider whether there are
2	aggravating factors. First of all, you have to
3	look at them and decide for yourself whether they
4	are aggravating; and then any mitigating
5	circumstances that the Defense or anyone raises,
6	you would have to find that they exist, and then
7	weigh the two, and based on the instructions that I
8	give you, you would come to a decision.
9	Now, the law does not require you to as I
10	said, it's not a mathematical formula, if it comes
11	to 10, then you have to give death; if it's 9, you
12	give life. It's still an individual decision out
13	of all the circumstances. So you could find more
14	aggravating factors than mitigating circumstances
15	and still vote for life.
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Right.
17	THE COURT: But the law does require or we ask
18	whether you would ever in any situation, no matter
19	how heinous the facts are, consider that death is
20	an option that you would choose, between life and
21	death individually.
22	So do you think there's any set of
23	circumstances, no matter how I mean, yesterday
24	the we had the gentleman who mowed down all the

people in New York City. Obviously, he did it on

T	purpose, and then ran into a school bus full of
2	children coming from a handicap school. So
3	obviously he was out to get people and he killed
4	eight and may have killed more, but a pretty
5	aggravating circumstance.
6	Any situation that you could consider in your
7	mind where you could look at the aggravating
8	factors and the mitigating circumstances and see
9	where death might be an option for you?
LO	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: I don't believe
L1	so.
L2	THE COURT: You don't believe that that would
L3	ever be an option that you could consider?
L 4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Uh-uh.
L5	THE COURT: You've got to answer out loud.
L 6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: No. I'm sorry.
17	THE COURT: Okay. I'm sorry. I can see your
L8	head bobs. But the State might have further
L 9	questions.
20	MR. LABRUZZO: Good afternoon, ma'am. I just
21	kind of want to follow up on what the Court was
22	asking you.
23	And as the Court described just now and
24	earlier today, the Court talked about requiring a
25	jury giving meaningful consideration to the

1	sentencing. Meaningful consideration means
2	following the law as the Court lays out. Okay?
3	And I want to make sure I'm on the same page
4	as what you're saying. That based on your
5	religious beliefs and the ones that you discussed
6	with your minister over the lunch break, that it is
7	your belief that you cannot follow the law if the
8	law requires you to give consideration?
9	And I'm not going to go over the factual
10	scenarios that the Court gave. I'm sure you'll
11	hear some more. But regardless of what the
12	aggravating factors are or the mitigating
13	circumstances, you're telling us that you cannot
14	follow the law because of your religious beliefs?
15	Is that what I hear?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: That's correct.
17	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. And you probably
18	hadn't thought about this before you came in here,
19	I guess, on Monday or Tuesday; is that correct?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: No. It's a
21	decision that I really never thought of, you know,
22	one way or the other; but now I was forced to kind
23	of make a decision.
24	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. So you gave it some
25	consideration?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Yeah.

1

25

```
MR. LABRUZZO: You sought some counsel on it?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Yeah.
 3
              MR. LABRUZZO: You feel good with the counsel
 4
 5
          that you spoke to?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: I do.
 6
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. In light of your
 7
 8
          personal thoughts, your consideration of your
 9
         minister, as you sit here today if we were to ask
10
         you to follow the law and give consideration to a
11
          possible penalty in this case, you're telling us
12
         you cannot do that?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Yes.
13
14
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you.
15
               THE COURT: Mr. Pura, do you have any
16
          questions?
              MR. PURA: Good afternoon.
17
18
               I respect your decision. I'm not trying to
19
          change it. I just want to make sure that you're
20
          clear on what the law is. Do you understand the
21
          law never requires a verdict of death? And as the
22
          Judge said, you would never be put in a situation
23
          where you have to vote for death against your
24
          personal moral judgment?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Correct.

1	MR. PURA: The law instructs jurors during the
2	penalty phase of a death trial to reach their own
3	personal moral vote, their own verdict. Right?
4	You don't have to agree with anybody.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Okay.
6	MR. PURA: Okay. And you can vote for life
7	based on anything that you think is shown that is
8	appropriate as to a life sentence. Okay?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Uh-huh.
10	MR. PURA: Do you understand?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: I think so.
12	MR. PURA: Okay. Well, I mean do you have any
13	question about that?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: No. I know I can
15	choose my own way if it's a life sentence or a
16	death sentence, I can choose which way.
17	MR. PURA: In order to come back with a death
18	sentence, the jury has to agree unanimously on
19	death. Any one juror who votes for life, that's
20	it, that's all it takes, the verdict is life.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Yes.
22	MR. PURA: Okay. All right. Now, you said
23	you're a practicing Christian; is that right?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Yes.
25	MR. PURA: So Jesus teaches us to love our

T	enemies, right?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Uh-huh.
3	MR. PURA: Yes?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Uh-huh.
5	MR. PURA: I need you to say yes or no.
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: "Yes".
7	MR. PURA: All right. Would you agree that
8	Jesus does not teach us to love the devil?
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Right.
10	MR. PURA: He doesn't instruct us to try to
11	love the devil, correct?
12	Now, do you think it's possible that there are
13	people out there that are just so purely evil, that
14	they essentially embody the devil?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: I think everybody
16	has a chance of redemption.
17	MR. PURA: The person who mows down 20 first
18	graders in a class just for the pleasure of seeing
19	them die, you think is capable of redemption?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: I would have to
21	know the whole story. I just don't know the whole
22	story.
23	MR. PURA: Let's say that you heard the whole
24	story and you determined that he's not capable of
25	redemption.

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Well, I mean I'm
2	going to stick with I believe that everybody is
3	capable of redemption.
4	MR. PURA: A guy who mowed down 68
5	concertgoers for no reason whatsoever?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Right. It's
7	not up to when you're a Christian, you believe
8	in a higher power of judging.
9	MR. PURA: And, Ms. Nystrom, I don't want to
10	put you on the spot, but it was yesterday or the
11	day before you indicated in the question regarding
12	your opinions about the death penalty as 1 being
13	never and 10 being always, you circled 6.
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Correct. I was
15	right in the middle there, yeah, because I hadn't
16	really thought about it too much. So
17	MR. PURA: So now that, you know, obviously
18	you sought guidance from your spiritual counselor,
19	and now you know the law, the law again never
20	forces you to vote for death if you don't want to,
21	you just have to give meaningful consideration to
22	both possibilities and vote as to your own
23	conscience, are you saying that you can't do that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: I cannot choose
25	the death penalty.

1	MR. PURA: I'm not asking you if you can
2	choose the death penalty. You will never be
3	required to choose the death penalty. All you're
4	asked to do, all you're instructed to do is give
5	consideration. Ultimately what you choose is what
6	your own conscience dictates.
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Say that again. I
8	would have to choose to consider the death penalty?
9	MR. PURA: You would be instructed to give
10	meaningful consideration to life or death, and then
11	ultimately choose what you think is the appropriate
12	sentence based on your personal moral judgment.
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Yes.
14	MR. PURA: Do you understand that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: I think so, yeah.
16	MR. PURA: Okay. So you're not instructed to
17	choose the death penalty. You would never be
18	instructed to choose the death penalty, just to
19	consider it and then come to your own moral
20	decision.
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Yes.
22	MR. PURA: And, you know, life is life. Okay.
23	Can you do that?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Uh-huh.
25	MR. PURA: Can you at least consider it and

```
then come to your own decision?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NYSTROM: Sure.
 2
               MR. PURA: Thank you.
 3
               THE COURT: State, any further questions?
 4
               MR. LABRUZZO: No, Your Honor.
 5
 6
               THE COURT: All right. Ma'am, thank you. You
 7
          may step out.
 8
               State?
               MR. LABRUZZO: Judge, we would move for cause
 9
10
          based on her statements that she could not follow
11
          the law.
               THE COURT: Defense?
12
13
               MR. PURA: Well, I mean I would argue that
14
          when she ultimately learned what the law was, she
15
          said she could follow it and give it consideration,
16
          as long as she's able to, you know, reach the
17
          ultimate decision based on her own -- I mean, it
18
          was apparent that she misunderstood the law that
19
          she would at some point be asked to choose death.
20
          That isn't what the law requires. She said she'd
21
          give it meaningful consideration and then reach her
22
          own verdict.
23
               THE COURT: Well, I think she was clear that
24
          under no circumstances that you could give her or I
25
          could give her or anybody could give her would
```

there ever be a consideration of death.

1

25

```
So I think she understood the law, and she
 2
          most certainly, if nothing else, was equivocal on
 3
          her ability to follow the law and consider the
 4
          death penalty as an option. So she showed that she
 5
 6
          had a true opposition to the death penalty.
 7
               And what's interesting about her is she
 8
          actually said she was a 6 on a 1 to 10, but after
 9
          speaking with her pastor she indicated quite
10
          clearly that death would never be an option that
11
          she could see herself voting for, and I gave her
          every opportunity to say that she would and she
12
          didn't.
13
14
               She said she could not apply the death penalty
15
          under any circumstances. She also said that she
16
          didn't see any circumstance where death would be an
17
          option for her. So based on that, I'm going to go
18
          ahead and grant the cause challenge.
19
               And at this point the rest of the jury can be
20
          brought up. Okay.
21
               THE BAILIFF: Yes, Your Honor.
22
               THE COURT: State, are you ready to start
23
          questioning?
24
               MR. LABRUZZO: We are, Your Honor.
```

THE COURT: Okay. Are you ready to bring the

1

21

22

```
jury in?
               THE BAILIFF: We're ready, Your Honor.
 2
 3
               THE COURT: Okay. Come on in.
               THE BAILIFF: Prospective jurors entering the
 4
         hearing of the Court, Your Honor.
 5
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 6
 7
     (Prospective Jurors Present.)
 8
               THE COURT: Okay. One of our jurors is going
 9
          to step out to use the restroom. Does anyone else
         have to use the restroom? He's not alone. We will
10
11
         wait.
12
              UNIDENTIFIED JUROR: I apologize.
               THE COURT: No problem. You wait and wait and
13
14
         wait and then they make you sit down.
15
              All right. State, are you ready to inquire?
16
              MR. LABRUZZO: We are, Judge.
17
               THE COURT: All right. I remind you, ladies
18
          and gentlemen, my court reporter is close, the
19
          lawyers are close; I'm way far away, but I need to
20
         hear your answers. So I may have to have you stand
```

- 23 Mr. LaBruzzo, you may proceed.
- 24 MR. LABRUZZO: Thank you, Your Honor.

don't hear them the first time.

25 All right. Ladies and gentlemen, I've heard

up and I'll have to recite your answers again if I

that you have to hear things three times before you

actually learn it. So I thought it would be a good

idea to reread the witness list to you just one

more time. I'm kidding obviously. You know, a

little levity doesn't hurt.

It is a funny joke, but this is a serious

It is a funny joke, but this is a serious matter. There's an allegation of murder in the first degree, and I wanted to assure you that the State of Florida, the Defense team, and the Court all have an interest in doing this process the right way. And we appreciate your patience in going through this. I can imagine as not only citizens, but taxpayers, you probably want us to only do this one time. So we appreciate your time and attention.

A few other things that I want to talk about, some promises that I can make you. Okay. I can promise you that I am going to try to talk to every single one of you because it's important. I can promise you that I will probably mess up at least one or two of the names in this room.

I can promise you that it's going to take me a little bit of time. All right. It's important.

And I can assure you that they're going to want to talk to you. It's just one of those things. So

1 please be patient with us as we work through this.

I'd like to start off by talking about what it means to be a juror. All right. We've kind of spent now a number of hours today and two days earlier in the week kind of going through at least what it means to be a juror by way of what kind of hardships that you might endure if selected to be a juror.

We talked about financial hardships. We talked about time commitments. And we appreciate all of you that remain. Now, those are some of the things that it means to be a juror. But to actually sit as a juror in what I'll describe is more comfortable chairs than the pew that you're sitting in now, it means a few other things.

And I want to talk about what it means in the eyes of the law. All right. I'm going to suggest to you that the law requires that a juror be both fair and impartial. All right. And I want to talk about those terms and get some feedback from you as to what you think that means.

So I'll start with Ms. Coleman. You're in the front row. It's an easy name for me to start off with. I'm going to ask you to step up. Thank you. I'm going to ask everyone to stand up too. It's

another promise. It just helps me, it helps the

1

25

```
court reporter, and also the Court.
 2
               So, Ms. Coleman, if I were to suggest to you
 3
          that a juror in any case in America needs to be
 4
         both fair and impartial, what do you think that
 5
 6
         means?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR COLEMAN: To look at
 7
 8
          everything objectively.
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right. To view the
 9
10
          evidence from an object standpoint. Now, how is it
11
          do you think a juror can do that?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR COLEMAN: I would just
12
         personally probably try to keep my feelings out of
13
14
         it.
15
               MR. LABRUZZO: Right. That's a big part of
16
          it. You can have a seat, Ms. Coleman. Thank you.
17
               Sometimes a juror has to set aside feelings
18
          that would either bias you or prejudice you against
19
          one side or a particular witness. All right. Do
20
         you think you can do that?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR COLEMAN: I think so.
21
22
               MR. SARABIA: All right. If you were called
23
          upon as a juror, do you think you could set aside
24
          any preconceived notions that you might have?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR COLEMAN: I think so.

1	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. It's kind of a tough
2	question to ask because I'm asking you to do
3	something you really don't know what it is. Right?
4	Again, it's a much different thing to be seating in
5	the seats than sitting over here.
6	For example, if you were selected as a juror,
7	Ms. Coleman, and you were to hear something about a
8	particular location or a particular person, or you
9	were to see a witness come in and they were wearing
10	an article of clothing or they looked a particular
11	way that you disagreed with.
12	I guess what I'm asking you is could you set
13	that aside and listen to what they have to say and
14	judge them like you would any other witness in the
15	case?
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR COLEMAN: Yes.
17	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Mr. Peters, thank you,
18	sir. If I were to ask you about what it means to
19	be a fair and impartial juror, what do you think
20	that means?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR PETERS: I would say to
22	judge solely the evidence that's being presented
23	with a clear mind, a clear thought, and not
24	perceiving something that's not there.

MR. LABRUZZO: Thank you, sir. I would

1	imagine that to do that, you would have to kind of
2	follow the Court's instructions that you've already
3	heard about not researching particular locations?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR PETERS: Of course.
5	MR. LABRUZZO: You can agree to do that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR PETERS: Absolutely.
7	MR. LABRUZZO: Not reading the paper. I don't
8	know if there's anyone who's an avid newspaper
9	reader in here, but you could agree with us that we
10	wouldn't want you to get evidence from some other
11	location, right?
12	PROSPECTIVE JUROR PETERS: Understood.
13	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you, sir.
14	Ms. Springfield, if I were to ask you what you
15	think it means to be a fair and impartial juror,
16	what do you think that means?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SPRINGFIELD: As they said,
18	it's the same thing, that is to view what is given
19	to you by the Court with an unbiased look on it and
20	to be able to judge solely what is given.
21	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Ms. Springfield,
22	you have certain life experiences. I know you work
23	at a particular location. You've had some
24	experiences in the law; is that correct?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SPRINGFIELD: Correct.

1

25

MR. LABRUZZO: If I were to suggest to you

```
2
          that you could use your common sense when coming to
          listening to a witness or just trying to determine
 3
          what someone is saying, what would you think about
 4
 5
          that?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR SPRINGFIELD: I would say
 6
 7
          that's something fair to do.
 8
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR SPRINGFIELD: That's
 9
          something I would be able to do.
10
11
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR SPRINGFIELD: I'm not saying
12
          that I know --
13
14
              MR. LABRUZZO: Right. I understand.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR SPRINGFIELD: -- so much
15
16
          about the law, but I would be able to look at it by
17
          the Court's instructions.
18
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Would you agree with me
19
          that you can use your skills of deductive reasoning
20
          and your sense of -- I'll just call it your ability
21
          to judge maybe credibility, listen and determine
22
          whether or not someone is telling the truth or not?
23
          Would you agree with me that those are things that
24
          a juror might want to use?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SPRINGFIELD: I think so.

1	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Do you think you
2	could do that?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SPRINGFIELD: Yes.
4	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
5	The law talks about being a fair and impartial
6	juror. And basically one of the instructions the
7	Court will read you and I've kind of paraphrased
8	it a little bit here it says that a fair and
9	impartial juror is one that promises to base their
10	verdict on the evidence presented in court, like
11	was said here, and on the law as the Court provides
12	it.
13	To do that there are really two roles that a
14	juror must have or do, and that is one is being a
15	fact finder. All right. The State of Florida in
16	this case is the entity that will be presenting to
17	you evidence. It is our burden to prove to you the
18	allegations that we made and by way of the charging
19	document that the Court read to you. That's our
20	burden. That means that it's our job over here to
21	prove to you the elements of the crime, and we do
22	that through facts and evidence and witnesses.
23	Mr. Hawbecker, I'm going to ask you to stand,
24	sir. How are you doing, sir?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR HAWBECKER: Fine.

MR. LABRUZZO: If I were to ask you what type

1

25

```
of skills would a juror need to be a fact finder,
 2
         what do you think that might be?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HAWBECKER: A good listener.
 4
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HAWBECKER: Make sure you're
 6
 7
          judging it only on the facts given to you.
 8
               MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. That's important. A
          good listener. You can have a seat. Thank you,
 9
         sir.
10
11
               In this case, as we've already kind of
12
         mentioned to you that this case may last a number
         of days and weeks, there's probably a lot to listen
13
14
          to. Would you agree when it's that long, there's
15
          probably going to be a lot to listen to. We've
16
          read you a very long witness list. There's a
17
         potential for a lot of witnesses in a case like
18
          this. All right.
19
               The Court is going to allow you to take notes
20
          if you so choose, and the Court will instruct you
          on the rules that must be followed when it comes to
21
22
         the note-taking. There's very specific rules. I'm
23
          not going to try to go over that with you, but
24
          there are rules. All right.
```

And what I want to suggest to you is is

that -- is there anyone here that thinks that the

```
length of time, it may be difficult for them to
 2
          listen or follow along if they were told that, one,
 3
          they have to listen; and, two, they'd be able to
 4
          take notes?
 5
 6
               And the reason I ask is, you know, in class
          when you're in school, if you've ever been in
 7
 8
          school, that there's always that one kid that takes
          excellent notes and everyone wants a copy of his
 9
          notes or her notes.
10
11
               One of the things the Court's going to go tell
12
          you is that you have to rely on your own notes.
          All right. And I'm going to kind of jump around,
13
14
          but I want some assurances that you guys feel
15
          comfortable with your ability to follow along and
16
          listen.
17
               Mr. Besuglow, am I saying that correct?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESUGLOW: Yes, sir.
19
               MR. LABRUZZO: How are you doing?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESUGLOW: Great.
21
               MR. LABRUZZO: Do you think you can pay
          attention to the testimony?
22
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESUGLOW: Yes.
24
               MR. LABRUZZO: Do you think you could take
25
          notes if called on to take notes?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR BESUGLOW: Yes. And

```
understanding all the facts before rendering
 2
          judgment.
 3
              MR. LABRUZZO: Yes. All right. Thank you,
 4
 5
          sir.
              Mr. Chancy?
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHANCEY: Yes.
 7
 8
              MR. LABRUZZO: How are you doing, sir?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHANCEY: Good.
 9
              MR. LABRUZZO: We talked about listening as
10
11
         being an important skill as it comes to fact
          finding. What other skills do you think you might
12
         need to be an excellent fact finder?
13
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHANCEY: Well, you have to
15
          listen to the instruction, not just the evidence.
16
          So we're going to get guidance from the Judge on
17
         what evidence that we should be paying attention
18
          to. So I think that's important.
19
              MR. LABRUZZO: Absolutely.
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHANCEY: Listening I think
21
          is key, listening and the note-taking is key.
22
              MR. LABRUZZO: Absolutely. I agree. You
23
         bring up an interesting point. You've kind of seen
24
         us go up to the bench already. And if you haven't
25
          figured it out yet, there's this like tray ceiling
```

here and this short ceiling over here, it is

2	designed acoustically to keep noise over here and
3	not over here. That's why we ask you to stand.
4	That's why we ask you to speak up loud.
5	If you're over in these nice comfortable
6	seats, you're a little bit closer to the bench.
7	All right. And, Mr. Chancey, you kind of mentioned
8	a point where that you can only process evidence
9	for which the Court allows you to listen to. All
10	right. They're role is the gatekeeper of the
11	evidence as well as the law.
12	If you were to hear something sitting in one
13	of these chairs that's discussed up at the bench,
14	which lawyers sometimes talk loudly, you can't
15	consider that. Kind of like what Mr. Chancy said,
16	you only go with what the Court lets you hear.
17	Mr. Cunningham, could you promise us that if
18	you were sitting in one of these seats over here
19	and you were to hear something at the bench, could
20	you promise us that you wouldn't consider that?
21	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CUNNINGHAM: I'm half deaf.
22	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CUNNINGHAM: I'm half deaf.
24	I have hearing loss. So I have difficulty hearing
25	distances.

```
MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Well, let me ask you
 1
          this: Based on your participation thus far and
 2
 3
         myself talking today and the Court, have you had
          any difficulty in hearing what we're talking about?
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR CUNNINGHAM: Not really.
 5
 6
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. If you had problems
 7
          hearing a witness or something that's being spoken
 8
          for you to hear, would you let us know?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR CUNNINGHAM: I'd let the
 9
         bailiff know.
10
11
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Well, again, if
12
          you're sitting in the seats over here and there's a
13
         witness talking or an attorney talking and you
14
         can't hear us, would you let us know at that point
15
         by simply raising your hand?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR CUNNINGHAM: Yes.
16
17
              MR. LABRUZZO: You feel comfortable doing
18
          that?
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR CUNNINGHAM: Yes, I do.
20
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. All right. Thank you,
21
         Mr. Cunningham.
22
              Maybe not the best person to put that out
23
         with, but let's talk to Ms. Crook, sitting next to
24
         you. Thank you, Mr. Cunningham.
25
              Ms. Crook, if you were sitting in a chair over
```

1	here, and I'm assuming you have better hearing, you
2	could hear us, if you were to hear us talking at
3	the bench and if you were to hear something that is
4	not meant for your ears, all right, would you
5	promise us that you wouldn't consider that?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CROOK: Yes.
7	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Would you promise us
8	that you wouldn't talk about that with the jurors,
9	other jurors?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR CROOK: Yes.
11	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Crook.
12	It's important that things that are meant for
13	the jury are given to the jury and things that are
14	not are not considered by the jury.
15	Is there anyone here that feels like if they
16	were to hear something in this case by way of
17	discussion at the bench or discussion at the
18	tables, that they can promise us they can't promise
19	us that they wouldn't consider that? That was kind
20	of a negative.
21	But does everyone understand what I'm trying
22	to say? That if you were to hear something you're
23	not supposed to, you can promise us that you
24	wouldn't consider it? That's kind of an important
25	thing because it's a big courtroom.

```
1
              THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (Indicating.)
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. I see a lot of head
 2
         nods.
 3
              THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: Yes.
 4
              MR. LABRUZZO: Everyone is saying they can.
 5
 6
         Okay. Thank you.
 7
              All right. Ms. Rein, how are you doing,
 8
         ma'am?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: I'm good.
 9
              MR. LABRUZZO: I'd like to ask you some other
10
11
          questions about being a fact finder and some of the
12
          skills that would be necessary.
              And what do you do living, ma'am?
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: Cost controller.
14
15
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. As a cost controller, do
16
          you ever find yourself at work trying to compare or
17
         weigh two different pieces of information?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: Of course.
18
19
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. And how do you go
20
          about weighing two different pieces of information?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: You understand --
21
22
          first you've got to understand what each piece
23
          represents.
24
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: And then how it

relates to each other.

```
MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Is that something,
 2
          fair to say, something you do on a regular basis?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: Yes.
 4
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Those are usually
 5
          applied with numbers?
 6
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: Yes.
 8
              MR. LABRUZZO: Have you ever engaged in that
 9
          type of comparison when it comes to what people
10
         say?
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: Yes. Because
12
          different people have different wants and needs
         with those funds, and you have to understand
13
14
         people's wants and needs in relation to those
15
         funds.
16
              MR. LABRUZZO: And how is it that you come to
17
         understand someone's wants or needs as it relates
18
         to that?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: Facts.
19
20
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. And those are
21
          discussions that you participate in regularly?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: Yes.
23
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. And do those
24
          discussions ultimately help you in making your
25
         decision?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: It helps me present

1

25

```
it to the management.
 2
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. All right. You help the
 3
         manager make a decision.
 4
              What if you had an inconsistency in the
 5
          information, would you be able to weigh
 6
 7
          inconsistencies?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: Yes.
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. As it relates to
 9
10
          evidence in a case, sometimes you'll be presented
11
         with multiple pieces of evidence. Okay. And one
12
         of the things we're going to ask you to do is to
         weigh evidence and compare evidence. All right.
13
14
         Is that something you feel like you can do?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: Yes.
15
16
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
17
              Mr. Banks, how are you doing, sir?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR BANKS: I'm doing well.
19
              MR. LABRUZZO: Doing well.
20
              And what do you do for a living, sir?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR BANKS: I work in a call
21
         center for Progressive Insurance.
22
23
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Do you handle
24
         claims?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR BANKS: Yes.

1 MR. LABRUZZO: All right. And what kind of

2	calls do you take?
3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BANKS: Automobile services.
4	MR. LABRUZZO: All right.
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BANKS: So if you have a
6	policy, I take care of your policy.
7	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. And in talking with
8	people, do you come across people that are trying
9	to get you to do one particular thing or another?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BANKS: That's correct.
11	MR. LABRUZZO: And what is it that they ask
12	you to do?
13	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BANKS: Some people ask to
14	change a date for payments. Some want me to back
15	date the stuff.
16	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. And your job as a
17	call taker, has anyone ever made a false statement
18	to you?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BANKS: Yes.
20	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. And have you had to
21	try to determine you know, come to the
22	understanding that it's a false statement?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BANKS: Yes.
24	MR. LABRUZZO: And how do you do that?
25	PROSPECTIVE JUROR BANKS: I listen to what

they're saying, see what's coming up in the past,

1

24

25

important.

```
and see what has been done already.
 2
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Do you think that's
 3
          an important skill?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR BANKS: Yes.
 5
 6
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Is that a skill that you
 7
          think you could use in listening to and weighing
 8
          evidence?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR BANKS: Yes.
 9
10
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you, sir.
11
               So we kind of talked briefly about some of the
12
          things that it takes to being a fact finder --
          listening to evidence. And I'll just suggest to
13
14
          you that listening is probably the most important
15
          skill. So, again, if at any point in time you feel
16
          like you can't hear us or have an understanding
17
          problem as to the words we're using, please let us
18
          know.
19
               I will also suggest to you that listening very
20
          closely is important because a witness talks to you
21
          or a piece of evidence is shown to you, you only
22
          have sometimes a brief moment to look at it or
23
          listen to it. So listening to every word is
```

Anyone here feels that, based on their

1

25

```
knowledge of themselves, think they have an
          inability to do that, to listen to someone and pay
 2
          attention to every word that they say?
 3
               Ms. Furler, do you think you can do that?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR FURLER: Yes.
 5
 6
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Do you think you'd
 7
          have any problems listening to and weighing
 8
          evidence?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR FURLER: I don't believe so.
 9
10
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Do you feel like
11
          you have the skills needed to be a good fact
12
          finder?
13
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR FURLER: I hope so.
14
               MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
15
               THE COURT: Let me just jump in for one
16
          second.
17
               When he says evidence and testimony, testimony
18
          is evidence. If it's physical evidence, that will
19
          go back with you in the jury room. But he's
20
          talking about the spoken word as evidence, not a
21
         physical piece of paper or something like that.
22
          That would go back with you in the jury room. You
23
          don't have to memorize anything that's going to be
24
          physical. Okay. Just so everybody gets over that.
```

MR. LABRUZZO: Yes. Thank you, Judge. I

appreciate that.

1

25

```
So we've talked about a fact finder.
 2
          other main skill that I want to talk about briefly
 3
          is being a law applier. All right. The fact
 4
          finder and the law applier. Taking the facts that
 5
 6
          are presented to you and then applying to the law
 7
          that the Court gives you. All right. So there are
 8
          certain skills that are required in being a good
          law applier. Let's talk a little bit about that.
 9
              Ms. Dillard, how are you doing, ma'am?
10
11
          didn't mean to startle you. I'm just randomly
12
         picking names here.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR DILLARD: I'm listening.
13
14
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. All right. Ms. Dillard,
15
          if I were to ask you what kind of skills do you
16
          think are required to be a law applier, what do you
17
         think that might be?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR DILLARD: Honesty.
19
              MR. LABRUZZO: Honesty is good.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR DILLARD: Trust.
20
21
              MR. LABRUZZO: I'm sorry?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR DILLARD: Trust.
23
              MR. LABRUZZO: Trust in what the law is,
24
         correct?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR DILLARD: That's correct.

```
1
              MR. LABRUZZO: The law is what the Court is
          going to give you. Okay? And those that are
 2
         chosen, at the very end will get a nice packet of
 3
         the laws written down for you. So that's probably
 4
         helpful in trusting what the law is.
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR DILLARD: Right.
 6
 7
              MR. LABRUZZO: And you can see it in black and
 8
         white.
              Ms. Dillard, have you ever lived in a state
 9
         other than Florida?
10
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR DILLARD: No, I have not.
12
              MR. LABRUZZO: You're a Florida resident?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR DILLARD: Yes.
13
14
              MR. LABRUZZO: Oh, fantastic. All right.
15
         Well, then, thank you, Ms. Dillard.
16
               By a show of hands, who here has lived in a
17
         different State? Thank you, Ms. Dillard.
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR LEAVY: (Indicating.)
19
              MR. LABRUZZO: I see Ms. Leavy.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR LEAVY: Leavy.
20
21
              MR. LABRUZZO: Leavy.
22
               I'm sorry. There's a lot of hands, but she
23
         was quick.
24
              Ms. Leavy, where else have you lived?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR LEAVY: New York.

MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. That's a good example.

1

25

```
2
          Probably a lot of people here have either lived in
 3
          New York at a previous time.
               How long did you live in New York?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR LEAVY: Thirty-two years.
 5
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Are you familiar
 6
 7
         with the laws in New York?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR LEAVY: Pretty much.
               MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. If you were selected as
 9
10
          a juror and you were asked to apply the laws of the
11
          state of Florida, would it be fair to recall laws
12
          from the state of New York and try to use them in
         this case?
13
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR LEAVY: No.
15
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Okay. A similar
16
          question. If you were to be chosen as a juror in
17
         this case and you were to hear the laws as the
18
         Court instructs you on and you were to say, wow, I
19
         didn't know that was the law, could you agree to us
20
          and tell us that you would follow the law?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR LEAVY: Yes.
21
22
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR LEAVY: Because it's all
24
         based on the law.
```

MR. LABRUZZO: Right. What if you disagreed

with the law that the Court instructs you, could

1

25

```
you promise us that you would follow the law?
 2
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR LEAVY: Yes.
              MR. LABRUZZO: Even if you disagreed with it?
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR LEAVY: Yes.
 5
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you,
 6
 7
         Ms. Leavy.
 8
              Ms. Ackerman, how are you doing, ma'am?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR ACKERMAN: I'm fine, thank
 9
10
         you.
11
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Same kind of
          questions to you. What if you were instructed on
12
         the law and the law was something different than
13
14
         what you thought it to be, could you still follow
         the law?
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR ACKERMAN: Yes.
16
17
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. And what if you
18
          disagreed with the law, do you think you could
19
          still follow the law that's given to you by the
20
          Court, even if you disagreed with it?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR ACKERMAN: Yes.
21
22
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Even if you thought
23
         that that's the silliest law I ever heard. Why am
24
          I being told that? That's stupid.
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR ACKERMAN: My thoughts don't

```
matter. It's what the Court says and the State
 2
          says.
               MR. LABRUZZO: Right. Well, it's more
 3
          important what the Court says. The Court's will be
 4
          giving you the law. But you promise us that you'd
 5
          follow the law?
 6
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR ACKERMAN: Absolutely.
 7
 8
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Is there anyone here
 9
          that thinks that they would have difficulty in
10
          following the law that's given to you?
11
               THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)
12
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right. By a show of hands,
          is there anyone here say, listen, if I were to hear
13
14
          a law that I just -- I think that's the stupidest
15
         thing I ever heard, you would still have to follow
16
          it, because we're asking you to promise us now,
17
         make a commitment to us that you will follow the
18
          law. Anyone here feel like that they can't do
19
         that?
20
               Ms. Severino, do you think you could follow
21
         the law as it's instructed to you?
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR SEVERINO: Yes.
23
               MR. LABRUZZO: And you promise us that if the
24
          law is something that you thought was different,
25
         that you would follow the law?
```

1

PROSPECTIVE JUROR SEVERINO: Yes.

```
MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
 2
              All right. Here we are to one of the names I
 3
          know I'm not going to do very well. Is it
 4
         Mr. Kotliar?
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR KOTLIAR: Kotliar.
 6
              MR. LABRUZZO: Kotliar.
 7
 8
              Thank you, sir. I apologize.
              Mr. Kotliar, let me kind of throw a set of
 9
10
         circumstances out to you. Okay?
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR KOTLIAR: Okay.
12
              MR. LABRUZZO: Let's say that you're selected
13
         as a juror and you're sitting in the seats and you
14
         begin to feel emotional about the law or the
15
          evidence. Okay. The evidence draws you in some
16
         sort of emotional direction. All right. Let's
17
         just say it makes you very angry. Okay?
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR KOTLIAR: Okay.
19
              MR. LABRUZZO: Can you promise us that when
20
          the time comes to follow the law, that you could
21
         set aside any anger that you might have and follow
22
         the law?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR KOTLIAR: Yes.
24
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. What if the
25
         evidence or the testimony or the law makes you feel
```

sympathetic to someone, do you think you can set

2	aside your sympathies as it relates to the person
3	testifying or the law in this case?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR KOTLIAR: Yes. Because I
5	know I can't trust my emotions.
6	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Thank you, sir. I
7	appreciate it.
8	Now, in this case, as the Court has already
9	read to you the charging document, this is a case
10	that involves four counts of murder in the first
11	degree, and the Court spent some time briefly just
12	talking to you about what the allegation is. All
13	right?
14	And so I want to know if you were to hear
15	testimony and you were to start to feel sympathetic
16	as to one side or the other. All right. If you
17	were to feel sympathy for witnesses in this case or
18	you were to hear something that makes you feel
19	sympathetic to the fact that a life was taken. All
20	right. I want to know is your sympathies going to
21	affect your ability to listen to the evidence in
22	the case. All right.
23	I can't sit here or stand here and suggest to
24	you that the testimony some testimony will be
25	scientific in nature; and some testimony will be

```
1
          I'll just call it fact in nature, about something
          that happened in an ordinary day; and some
 2
          testimony may gender an emotional response.
 3
               Mr. Mixon, if you were to hear testimony and
 4
          you started to feel sympathetic or feel emotional
 5
          about the testimony, could you promise us that you
 6
 7
          could set aside your sympathies as it relates to
 8
          that?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR MIXON: Yes, I can.
 9
10
               MR. PURA: Judge, I need to object. Can we
11
          approach, please.
               THE COURT: Certainly.
12
13
               Ladies and gentlemen, give us a moment.
14
     (Bench Conference.)
15
               THE COURT: What's your objection?
16
               MR. PURA: Because this is a death penalty
17
          case, I think it's an improper question to tell
18
          them that they're not to apply sympathy, because
19
          obviously they can apply sympathy in the penalty
20
          phase of the trial.
21
               And I've heard this question asked before and
22
          I've had absolutely no objection to it, you know,
23
          other than now.
```

MR. LABRUZZO: I understand.

MR. PURA: Because we're talking about a death

24

```
1
         case. So they may be confused about, you know,
         when they can --
 2
 3
               MR. LABRUZZO: I can clarify by saying we're
          talking only about the guilt phase. I can do that.
 4
          I mean, we'll talk about that separately as to
 5
         mitigation. But mitigation really isn't sympathy.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: Right.
 8
               MR. LABRUZZO: I mean, I understand mercy is
          different.
 9
10
               MR. PURA: It should be anything that an
11
          individual juror feels that calls for life.
               MR. LABRUZZO: We still don't want them making
12
          a decision based upon, you know, sympathy towards
13
14
         the victims in the case and saying, you know,
15
          that's not aggravator, but I'm still going to make
16
          it. So it could go both ways. All I'm just trying
17
          to say I don't want them to, you know --
18
               THE COURT: Well, I'm going to read them an
19
          instruction that says your verdict should not
20
          influenced by feelings of prejudice, bias or
21
         sympathy.
22
               MR. PURA: Your verdict of guilt?
23
               THE COURT: No. It's the rules for
24
         deliberation.
```

MR. PURA: In phase one.

THE COURT: In phase one.

1

```
MR. LABRUZZO: That's also in phase two,
 2
          though.
 3
               MR. PURA: I would ask that it be, you know,
 4
          cleared up that we're only talking about phase one.
 5
               THE COURT: I'm not so sure that it's not the
 6
          same instruction.
 7
              MR. LABRUZZO: I think it is.
 8
               THE COURT: I give the same instruction in --
 9
          here it is.
10
11
               MR. LABRUZZO: Just for the record, I know
          that the rules for deliberation are part of the
12
          instructions to the jury in a death penalty
13
14
         proceeding.
15
               THE COURT: I will read them per the
          instructions: Your decision must not be based upon
16
17
          the fact that you feel sorry for anyone or are
18
          angry at anyone.
19
               I can read that instruction. So if you wanted
20
          to clarify and make it, "Feel sorry for anyone,"
          that's in both instructions.
21
22
               MR. PURA: Okay. Well, then I would -- to the
23
          extent that it conflicts with the instruction that
24
          any juror can find a mitigating factor based on the
25
```

background, character of the defendant, or the

circumstances of the crime, then, you know, they

1

24

25

```
may choose to feel sorry for the defendant.
 2
               THE COURT: But we're talking the facts and
 3
          following the law with the facts. And the jury
 4
          instruction clearly says that your decision must
 5
          not be influenced by bias, racial or ethnic bias or
 6
 7
          sympathy. It must be based on the evidence and the
          law contained in these instructions.
 8
              MR. PURA: Okay.
 9
               THE COURT: So I'll overrule the objection.
10
11
     (Open Court.)
12
               THE COURT: The objection is overalled. You
13
          may proceed.
14
              MR. LABRUZZO: Thank you, Your Honor.
15
               I believe I was speaking to Mr. Mixon.
16
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR MIXON: Right.
17
               MR. LABRUZZO: How are you doing, sir?
18
               Again, so if you were to -- to reset the
19
          stage. If you were listening to testimony and the
20
          testimony were to engender some sort of sympathy
21
          for that person as to what they were go through,
22
          could you promise us that you would set aside your
23
          sympathy?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR MIXON: Yes, I can.

MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Again, thank you,

```
1
         sir.
              It's important that a fair and impartial
 2
          juror, again like we talked about, doesn't allow
 3
          their sympathies to affect their ability to
 4
         perceive and listen to the facts or their ability
 5
 6
         to apply the law. Okay.
 7
              Anyone so far have any issues with their
 8
          ability to do that?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: (Indicating.)
 9
              MR. LABRUZZO: Yes, ma'am. Ms. Cooper?
10
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: Yes.
12
              MR. LABRUZZO: Yes. Ms. Cooper, could you
         please stand. You raised your hand. What would
13
14
         you like to tell us.
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: I get very
         emotional.
16
17
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: I have a lot of
18
19
         Kleenexes.
20
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: I take care of the
21
22
         elderly.
23
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right.
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: I do hide it. I do

get very, very angry here and I have to set that

24

```
aside. I do have a tendency of walking off to cool off.
```

MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. All right. Ms. Cooper, we're not asking you to be inhuman about what we hear or anything. We're not saying you can't have emotions or you can feel that way. It's when you allow those emotions or those feelings of sympathy or bias or prejudice affect the job that we're asking you to do, and that job is to listen to the facts and apply the law. Okay.

Again, I'm trying to keep it as simple as possible. When it comes down to it, everything we're asking you to do is, you know, determine what the facts are and apply the law. And you've kind of said that you -- I hear that you're saying that you're an emotional person?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: Very.

MR. LABRUZZO: All right. And that's okay.

If you were to hear testimony and you would start to feel emotional, could you promise us that you could set aside those emotions and listen to what has to be done? Are you saying that the emotions are so overwhelming, that you can't even listen?

25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: I can listen.

```
MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Can you promise us that
 1
          you can set aside the emotions that you have as it
 2
          relates to any particular witness that either will
 3
         be testifying or as to a particular law in the
 4
 5
          case?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER:
 6
                                         Yes.
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. I know, again, we're
 7
 8
          talking about in the abstract because you haven't
 9
         heard anything and you don't know anything. But
         you feel comfortable as to the job requirements
10
11
          that we're asking you to have?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: Yes, sir.
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you,
13
14
         Ms. Cooper. If at any point in time throughout
15
          this entire discussion you feel like that answer is
16
          changing, you can let us know. Okay?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: Thank you.
18
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right, ma'am. Ms. Cooper,
19
          thank you.
20
              Ms. Kurtz, how are you doing, ma'am?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR KURTZ: Okay.
22
              MR. LABRUZZO: Do you think if you were to
23
          hear testimony that were to engender some sort of
24
          sympathy or some sort of bias -- let's just say you
25
         see someone you don't like. Okay. I'm not saying
```

1

25

```
you don't like anyone. I'm just saying if you were
         to see something you don't like, a particular
 2
         location, a particular person who works for a
 3
         particular job, can you set aside your thoughts of
 4
         bias and follow the law in this case?
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR KURTZ: Yes.
 6
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Do you think that would
 7
         be an easy job for you or a difficult job for you?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR KURTZ: No. I'm pretty
 9
          objective about a lot of things.
10
11
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR KURTZ: So I think it would
13
         be okay.
14
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Do you think you're
15
         pretty good at compartmentalizing your emotions at
16
          times and then saying this is what I'm going to
17
         focus on?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR KURTZ: Yes.
18
19
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
              Mr. -- is it Nies?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NIES: Nies.
21
22
              MR. LABRUZZO: Nies.
23
              How are you doing, sir?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR NIES: Good.
```

MR. LABRUZZO: Mr. Nies, if you were to hear

```
1
          testimony that would make you feel some sort of
          prejudice towards the person testifying -- let's
 2
          say that a person has a particular job or
 3
          educational background that you disagree with or
 4
          dislike. Okay. Do you think you could set aside
 5
          your thoughts of prejudice as to that person and
 6
 7
          follow the facts and apply the law in the case?
 8
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR NIES: Yes.
 9
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you, sir.
10
               This concept of fact finding and law applying
          is, you know, again, very simplistic. I've kind of
11
          broken it down. And, again, when you see the level
12
          of instruction that you're going to receive on the
13
14
          law, you're going to be, like, wow. I really made
15
          it simplistic. But it's an important aspect of
16
          this case, in any criminal case, is that you
17
          determine the facts and then you follow the law.
18
               So I'm just going to take a few more minutes
19
          to make sure that everyone is comfortable following
          the law.
20
21
              Mr. Garcia.
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR GARCIA:
                                         Yes.
23
               MR. LABRUZZO: Would you stand up, please,
24
          sir.
```

You wrote down that you work in a lumber yard,

```
1
         correct?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR GARCIA: Yes.
              MR. LABRUZZO: As a foreman?
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR GARCIA: Yes, sir.
 4
              MR. LABRUZZO: Do you ever have to settle
 5
 6
         disagreements in the yard?
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR GARCIA: No, sir.
 8
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. There are certain rules
         that you have to follow in the yard. Do some of
 9
10
         those rules in the yard, do they go to -- I guess,
11
         maybe not your safety -- the safety of customers or
12
         the safety of others?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR GARCIA: Uh-huh.
13
14
              MR. LABRUZZO: It's yes?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR GARCIA: Yes.
15
16
              MR. LABRUZZO: What happens if those rules
         aren't followed?
17
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR GARCIA: You get punished.
19
              MR. LABRUZZO: I'm sorry, sir. Could you
20
         repeat your answer.
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR GARCIA: You're written up.
22
              MR. LABRUZZO: What?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR GARCIA: You're written up.
24
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Is it important to
25
         follow the rules?
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR GARCIA: Yes.
2	MR. LABRUZZO: Is the rules for not only your
3	safety, but the safety of others?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR GARCIA: Yes.
5	MR. LABRUZZO: And, again, rules are
6	different. We're asking you to follow the laws in
7	this case. If we were to ask you to give some sort
8	of commitment to us that you can follow the law in
9	this case, do you think you can do that?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR GARCIA: Yes, sir.
11	MR. LABRUZZO: Even if you thought the rules
12	were different than the ones before you walked in
13	here?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR GARCIA: Yes, sir.
15	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Thank you, sir.
16	All right. By a show of hands how many
17	individuals in here watch shows that are related
18	either to the practice of law or criminal
19	investigations? By a show of hands.
20	THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (Indicating.)
21	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. I see a lot of hands.
22	All right. Anyone here ever watch the show
23	Law and Order?
24	THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (Indicating.)
25	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. There was some

1

statistic that came out that at any given moment in

```
the world there are at least eight episodes playing
 2
         at any given second and time in the world. It's
 3
         probably one of the most popular television show in
 4
         the world, especially related to law.
 5
 6
               Let's see, by a show of hands, I saw -- let's
 7
          see, I think I pointed to Mr. Pruden? Did I say
 8
         that correct?
               What shows do you watch?
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR PRUDEN: Which one?
10
11
              MR. LABRUZZO: Yeah, which one.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR PRUDEN: Law and Order SVU.
12
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. And is that an hour
13
14
         show?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR PRUDEN: I believe so, yeah.
16
               MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Do they usually present
17
         you with a crime and solve it nice and neat by the
18
          end of the hour?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR PRUDEN: Most of the time.
19
20
              MR. LABRUZZO: Most of the time.
21
               Sometimes they're not solving the show,
22
         correct?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR PRUDEN: Yes.
24
               MR. LABRUZZO: But mainly when there's an
25
         issue to solve, they solve it nice and neatly for
```

you in one hour, correct?

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR PRUDEN:
                                         Yes.
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Do you think that's
 3
         how things happen in real life?
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR PRUDEN: No.
 5
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Would you agree with me
 6
 7
          that the things that happen in Hollywood, the good
 8
          and the bad, that sometimes that's different than
          what happens in real life?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR PRUDEN: It's possible.
10
11
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Pruden.
12
               Is there anyone here that thinks that the
          things that happen in television, as far as
13
14
          criminal investigations, are the things that can
15
          happen in real life? Is there anyone who thinks
16
          sometimes Hollywood embellishes?
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER: (Indicating.)
18
              MR. LABRUZZO: And I see a head shaking. Is
          that Mr. Weaver?
19
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER: No.
21
              MR. LABRUZZO: I saw you shaking your head.
22
          Do you think everything you see on TV is possible?
23
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER: No.
24
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Do you think that
25
         Hollywood sometimes shows things that aren't
```

necessarily accurate?

1

25

blue.

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER: No.
 2
              MR. LABRUZZO: Well, I guess to say it another
 3
          way. Do you think that Hollywood embellishes as to
 4
         what's true.
 5
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER:
 6
                                         Yes.
 7
              MR. LABRUZZO: Yes? Thank you, sir.
 8
               Could I ask you to stand, sir. Is that
          possible? All right. Thank you, sir.
 9
               If you were the to be presented with evidence
10
11
          in a case, would you pay attention to the -- let's
          talk about scientific evidence or forensics
12
         evidence. Would you think you'd be able to pay
13
14
         attention to the testimony that was presented?
15
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER: Yes. We've already
16
          got a problem on this. That you're talking about
17
         common sense.
18
              MR. LABRUZZO: Right.
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER: And then you're
20
         talking about facts.
21
              MR. LABRUZZO: Right.
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER: And which one to
23
         use in a situation like this.
24
               If you turn around and tell me these walls are
```

MR. LABRUZZO: Right.

1

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER: And you tell me
 2
          that's a fact and that's the law, you and I would
 3
          have a problem with this.
 4
               MR. LABRUZZO: Oh, I understand. I totally
 5
 6
          get it, sir. I totally get it.
 7
               The good news is is that the people selected
 8
          as the jury, we present to you the evidence and you
          get to determine what the facts of the case are.
 9
10
          All right. That's the role of the jury. That's
11
          what we're talking about fact finding. That's your
12
          job.
13
              Much to our dismay, whatever the attorneys say
14
          in a case like this, it's not fact and it's not the
15
          law. The only thing that you're to consider is
16
          what the evidence is that comes in the witness
17
          stand, the physical evidence that's introduced and
18
          you get to look at and you examine, and what the
19
          law the Court says.
20
               So if I say the wall is blue, I'm not telling
21
          you to believe me. And if a witness tells you the
22
          wall is blue, we're going to ask you to use your
23
          common sense to try to determine whether or not
24
          they're talking the truth or not. Does that make
25
```

sense?

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER: That's what I'm
2	talking about.
3	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Are you okay using your
4	common sense to discern what a witness says?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER: Yes.
6	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. What if the testimony
7	let's just talk about scientific in nature. All
8	right. Could you promise us that you would listen
9	to the evidence that was presented to you?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER: Yes. That's what
11	I'm saying. The facts has to be weighed.
12	MR. LABRUZZO: Right. And if it's certain
13	forensic evidence, you may be presented with
14	background and education as it relates to those
15	people to help you understand what they are trying
16	to testify about. Okay?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER: Yes.
18	MR. LABRUZZO: If a person has a particular
19	educational background or life experience, would
20	you agree that that's important to weigh in in
21	determining whether or not they're telling it's
22	true or not?
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER: Yes.
24	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. For example, if a
25	witness was a doctor, okay, and he were to testify

and he were trying to tell you something about a

```
Renaissance painting. All right. He's probably
 2
         not the best guy to try to describe a Renaissance
 3
          painting to you I would imagine, right? He has a
 4
         medical background, not an art background. Would
 5
 6
         you agree with me?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER: Yes.
 7
 8
               MR. LABRUZZO: But if a witness has a
 9
          particular life experience, educational background,
10
          could you promise us that you would listen to what
11
          they have to say to help you determine whether or
          not they are qualified to talk about the things
12
         that they're telling you?
13
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER:
                                         Yes.
15
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Would you agree
16
          that that's important?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER: Yes.
17
18
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right.
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER: You're talking
20
          about bringing in experts.
               MR. LABRUZZO: Well, it doesn't have to be an
21
22
          expert is what I'm trying to suggest to you,
23
         Mr. Weaver. That any person can be a witness.
                                                          The
24
          question is, as a juror, you have to determine
25
          whether or not the person testifying has the
```

requisite experience in life, knowledge to the

```
things that they're seeing, life experiences to
 2
          testify. And that's what we're asking you. Are
 3
          you comfortable with weighing and comparing that
 4
          type of evidence?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR WEAVER:
 6
                                          Yes.
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you,
 7
 8
          Mr. Weaver.
               And, again, that extends to both expert
 9
          witnesses is kind of what I'm talking about, a
10
11
          little bit with the CSI stuff, that sometimes on
12
          those shows the things that they show you may not
13
          be true.
14
               There's a judge that used to practice here, be
15
          in this courtroom, that said he saw a show once
16
          where a criminal investigation was solved by a
17
          picture taken and the reflection of a witness's eye
18
          showed the reflection of the killer, right, this
19
          very detailed photographic evidence. And those
20
          things just don't exist. That some things in
21
          Hollywood don't exist.
22
               But if you're a witness that was called in
23
          here to testify, that you as jurors would be able
24
          to pay attention to their education, their
25
          background, to determine whether or not they have
```

```
the requisite experience to testify to the things
         that they're going to testify about. Right?
 2
          That's why we bring them in and that's why we ask
 3
          them all sorts of questions.
 4
 5
               Is there anyone here that feels like they
 6
          couldn't do that, that they don't feel comfortable
 7
         with listening to witnesses and trying to determine
 8
          whether or not they have the ability to testify
         about certain things?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: (Indicating.)
10
11
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right, sir. Mr. Strohman.
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Yes.
              MR. LABRUZZO: How are you doing, sir?
13
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I'm good.
15
               MR. LABRUZZO: I'm going to ask you to stand
16
         up.
17
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I have a problem,
18
         because I have a friend who spent 23 years in
19
         prison.
20
               MR. LABRUZZO: Yes, sir.
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Over rape.
22
              MR. LABRUZZO: Yes, sir.
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: And he was
24
          exonerated after spending 23 years in prison
25
         because he didn't do it.
```

```
1 MR. LABRUZZO: All right, sir.
```

- 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: And that was --
- 3 he was convicted twice.
- 4 MR. LABRUZZO: Right.
- 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Oversight and
- 6 this and that and the other thing.
- 7 MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
- 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: And in my heart I
- 9 knew this guy was innocent.
- MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
- 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I wrote the judge
- 12 a letter, and the judge told the gentleman's
- mother -- this was in Ohio.
- MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
- 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Okay. The judge
- 16 told the mother, if I ever get another letter like
- this, he's going directly to prison.
- MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
- 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: That's the crap I
- 20 don't want to hear. I want to -- I want to -- I'm
- 21 sorry.
- MR. LABRUZZO: Well, let me ask you this
- 23 question first. Let me sort of back up a little
- 24 bit.
- 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Okay.

1	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Okay.
3	MR. LABRUZZO: Obviously that's something
4	that's near and dear to you, right?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Right.
6	MR. LABRUZZO: So let me just ask you some
7	questions about it.
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Okay.
9	MR. LABRUZZO: Were you a witness in that
10	case?
11	PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: No. But I was
12	calling my wife. They were going to bring my wife
13	up from Florida up to Ohio to be a witness.
14	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: And a guy named
16	Godfrey, he was the lawyer. You guys probably read
17	about it. It was in the papers or whatever.
18	MR. LABRUZZO: Well, let me ask you this
19	question.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: From the Ohio
21	Innocence Program.
22	MR. LABRUZZO: Let me ask you this question,
23	sir: That experience, is that the kind of
24	experience you think that's going to affect your
25	ability to listen to evidence in a case like this?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I don't want to

```
be told what -- and that's what the people were
 2
 3
          told, this is the guy that did it. They had four
 4
         eyewitnesses.
              MR. LABRUZZO: Well, I can assure you, sir
 5
 6
          we're trying to pick a jury to make that decision.
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Well, I don't
         want to be --
 8
              MR. LABRUZZO: No one is going to tell you
 9
         what to --
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I want to make my
11
12
          own mind up. And to see somebody railroaded down
         the road and lost 23 years of his life because of
13
14
         this.
15
              MR. LABRUZZO: And I understand. But clearly
16
          you have to appreciate at this point that we are --
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I understand that
17
18
          completely.
              MR. LABRUZZO: No. I understand.
19
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I understand that
21
         completely. But for me to -- for me to -- you guys
22
          telling me stuff and the witnesses telling me
23
         stuff.
24
              MR. LABRUZZO: Right.
25
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I mean, they had
```

witnesses. He went on trial for two weeks.

1

25

```
MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Oh, yeah, he did
 3
          it, he did it, he did it. They had all this other
 4
         stuff. He didn't do it.
 5
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. And that expedience
 6
 7
          that you had -- you obviously followed it both
 8
          times through the court?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Yes.
 9
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Did that affect
10
11
          your sense of justice as it relates to Ohio?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: All I want is the
13
         truth.
14
              MR. LABRUZZO: Well, I understand. But that's
15
          really what -- Okay. So in a criminal case the
16
          important things are the jury's role, right?
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Right.
18
              MR. LABRUZZO: And clearly you guys have to
19
         understand that the jury's role is important, which
20
          is why we're here on day number three trying to
21
         talk to you guys, right?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Right.
23
              MR. LABRUZZO: So it's not like we're trying
24
         to short-circuit that process.
```

I guess what I'm trying to ask you is that

1

jurors have specific roles, right? The Judge has a

```
role. Attorneys have roles. Is your experience
 2
         with that case in Ohio -- and you obviously know
 3
          the judge, because you've kind of had some negative
 4
          thoughts about that. And obviously there were
 5
          attorneys involved, because you told us about the
 6
 7
          attorneys involved in that case.
 8
               Are you telling us that you can set aside --
          take all that you've had, set it completely aside,
 9
10
         don't let that prejudice and that bias as it
11
         relates to your experience in Ohio -- can you set
         that all aside and treat the State of Florida and
12
         Mr. Matos equally fairly as a fair and impartial
13
14
         juror?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I can't promise.
16
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
17
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I'll do my best.
18
              MR. LABRUZZO: Right.
19
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: But I can't
20
         promise.
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Well, we're looking
21
22
          for a commitment.
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I understand.
24
               MR. LABRUZZO: And not that your promise isn't
25
          good to me, but obviously there's a lot of effort
```

```
1 put into this. So we're looking for people who can
```

- 2 commit to us.
- 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I understand.
- But I don't want to be -- I mean, this man's life
- 5 is on the line.
- 6 MR. LABRUZZO: No. I understand.
- 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: And I want to
- 8 make sure that --
- 9 MR. LABRUZZO: Absolutely.
- 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: You know.
- MR. LABRUZZO: Absolutely, sir.
- 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: That's -- that's
- my deal.
- MR. LABRUZZO: That's why we're talking about
- those people that can be fair and impartial.
- 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I can be fair and
- impartial.
- MR. LABRUZZO: Well, that's what I want to
- 19 make sure of.
- 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Yes.
- 21 MR. LABRUZZO: Because you kind of said --
- 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I can be fair and
- 23 impartial.
- MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Let's just go this
- 25 way individually. Can you set aside all the

```
1 experience and all the emotion that you had with
```

- 2 the judge in that case in Ohio?
- 3 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I didn't have a
- 4 problem with the judge.
- 5 MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
- 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: The judge wasn't
- 7 the problem.
- 8 MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Well, Judge, can we
- 9 approach?
- 10 THE COURT: Certainly.
- 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I'm sorry.
- MR. LABRUZZO: That's okay.
- 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Of causing all
- these problems.
- THE COURT: Do you want the juror to come up?
- MR. LABRUZZO: Yes, Judge.
- 17 THE COURT: Sir, come on up.
- MR. LABRUZZO: Mr. Strohman, we're going to
- 19 ask you some questions.
- 20 (Bench Conference.)
- 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I'm sorry causing
- 22 all these problems.
- THE COURT: That's okay.
- MR. LABRUZZO: No, no, no.
- 25 THE COURT: Mr. Strohman, what the State is

1

25

```
concerned with, and I can hear it in your voice, is
          that that incident in Ohio was recent. Am I
 2
          correct about that?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: He's been out of
 5
          jail now for three years.
               THE COURT: Okay.
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: He has spent two
 8
          years waiting to be fully exonerated from the whole
 9
          thing. He just got exonerated from the whole
10
          thing.
11
               THE COURT: Okay. So my question to you is,
12
          because of that experience and being involved in
          that experience, would you feel comfortable that --
13
14
          the way the criminal justice system is set up,
15
          witnesses are called, questions are asked, answers
16
          are given, cross-examination is had by the side of
17
          the person not calling them, but the jurors don't
18
          get to ask -- they get to ask certain questions,
19
          but they don't get to ask everything they can think
          of. Okay.
20
21
               So would you feel comfortable being involved
22
          as a juror when you really can't, for lack of a
23
          better term, be a hundred percent sure beyond every
24
          doubt? Because in the criminal justice system it's
```

beyond a reasonable doubt. And in your particular

1

25

case, you had someone there twice. The jurors, I

```
2
         assume they were good people, right, good people
         were chosen, and condemned someone who later was
 3
         exonerated.
 4
 5
               Do you feel like you can participate knowing
 6
          what you know in this case? If you can't, it's
 7
          okay. Everybody is fine with that. We're trying
 8
         to find out --
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: That's the --
 9
          that's the problem. I don't know.
10
11
               THE COURT: You're just not sure?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I don't know.
12
13
         That's right.
14
               THE COURT: You would do your best?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I would do my
16
         best to my ability to be able to come across
17
         with --
18
               THE COURT: But you're not sure if you'd go
19
         back there second-guessing everything because of
20
         what happened to your friend?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: No. I believe
21
         in -- I believe in the jury.
22
23
               THE COURT: Okay.
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: That's what I
```

want. If they show me the truth, I got no problem.

```
1
               THE COURT: Okay. Let me ask you a question.
          If 17 priests come in here and testify they saw the
 2
 3
          red car run the red light but you weren't there,
         would you be sure it's the truth?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Yes.
 5
               THE COURT: Okay. But they had to be 17
 6
 7
         priests?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: They would have
 8
          to be -- see, I can't explain what happened.
 9
               THE COURT: You don't have to explain it to
10
11
             And I'm really hoping you don't talk about it
12
          anymore.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: No. I'm --
13
14
               THE COURT: But that's okay. You can never
15
          explain what happened because we weren't there.
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Right. Exactly.
17
               THE COURT: And so we clearly, we have all,
18
          all of us, the people on this side of the room and
19
          the people on that side of the room and myself,
20
          have a collective time in the criminal justice
21
          system of hundreds of years. Okay. So I want you
22
          to understand.
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Yes.
24
              THE COURT: We're not newbies around here.
25
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Right.
```

ain't your first rodeo.

1

25

```
THE COURT: This ain't my first rodeo and it
 2
          surely not either side's first rodeo.
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Right. I
 5
         understand.
               THE COURT: Okay. We all understand what
 6
          you're saying and we've all heard about people who
 7
 8
         have been convicted years and years ago before DNA
         was mainstreamed who were convicted and later
 9
          exonerated because of DNA.
10
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Right.
11
12
               THE COURT: My guess is that's where your
          friend falls, that DNA came back on the sex thing?
13
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Yes. And it
15
          ended up to be that way.
               THE COURT: Right. And it wasn't his. So
16
17
         they exonerated him because the person's whose DNA
18
         was found had to have been the person who did it?
19
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Right. But --
20
         yes.
21
               THE COURT: So in this particular case, for
22
         you to feel like you could convict somebody, you
23
          would have to have evidence, solid evidence like
24
         DNA?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Yes.

```
1
               THE COURT: Or something that's above and
         beyond someone's testimony?
 2
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Yes.
              THE COURT: Is it fair to say?
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Yes.
 5
              THE COURT: Okay.
 6
 7
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you, sir.
 8
              And that's what I was going to get at.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Yeah. I'm sorry.
 9
              MR. LABRUZZO: No, that's okay. It's really
10
11
         fine.
               You kind of keep mentioning that you need to
12
         hear the truth. All right.
13
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Right.
15
              MR. LABRUZZO: And I guess what I was trying
16
          to get at with you over there is that that's kind
17
         of the role of a juror to determine what the facts
18
          are and what -- you know, we don't call it the
19
          truth but we call it a verdict, and determine what
20
         happened. Okay?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Right.
22
              MR. LABRUZZO: I'm just trying to use the
23
          language that we use here in the court.
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Right.
```

MR. LABRUZZO: But that's the role of the

```
jurors. Do you feel that based on your
```

- 2 experiences, that that's going to be a difficult
- 3 task for you?
- 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: No. I'm 74 years
- 5 old. I can --
- 6 MR. LABRUZZO: I get it.
- 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: This ain't my
- 8 first rodeo either.
- 9 MR. LABRUZZO: No, I get it. I get it.
- 10 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: So I just -- I
- 11 want to make sure.
- MR. LABRUZZO: Right.
- 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Because we're
- 14 talking about a man's life.
- MR. LABRUZZO: Absolutely.
- 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I want to make
- sure that what I'm presented is enough for me to
- 18 say.
- 19 MR. LABRUZZO: I understand. So is there some
- 20 level that we have to achieve as to the --
- 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: It's my level.
- MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
- 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: That's my level.
- It's going to have be my level.
- 25 MR. LABRUZZO: I understand. And what if it's

```
1 the level the law says. Okay. For example, the
```

- 2 law says we have to prove our case beyond a
- 3 reasonable doubt.
- 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Right.
- 5 MR. LABRUZZO: And the Court gives a very
- 6 detailed --
- 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Right.
- 8 MR. LABRUZZO: -- remember we talked about.
- 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Right.
- 10 MR. LABRUZZO: That's the standard that we
- 11 have to prove.
- 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Right. I
- 13 understand.
- 14 MR. LABRUZZO: If your standard is different
- than the legal standard, that's what I'm trying to
- 16 figure out.
- 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: No, it's not.
- MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
- 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: It's not.
- MR. LABRUZZO: Well, how do you know that?
- 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Because I want
- the truth.
- MR. LABRUZZO: I understand.
- 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: And if I get the
- 25 truth from you guys or if I get the truth from

```
1
         these guys --
 2
              MR. LABRUZZO: No, I understand.
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: -- then I'm fine.
         But as long as I get the truth.
 4
 5
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: And if -- and if
 6
 7
          I have any doubt in my mind about what's going on,
 8
          that's what I got to -- see, I wrote some stuff
          down.
 9
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. I see that. So let me
10
11
          just -- well, all right.
12
               THE COURT: All right. Defense, do you have
13
         any questions?
14
              MR. PURA: No, ma'am.
               THE COURT: Okay. All right, sir. We're
15
16
          going to have you have a seat. I think we
17
         understand where you're coming from.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Okay.
18
19
              THE COURT: Absolutely.
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I'm -- I'm sorry.
21
              THE COURT: No, no, no, no.
22
              I think we're done with this questioning.
23
              MR. LABRUZZO: Right. All right.
24
              THE COURT: Okay.
```

25

(Open Court.)

MR. LABRUZZO: All right. The Court read the charging document a few times, at two different times, and that charging document alludes to guns and the use of a gun as an implement in this case.

And I want to talk about that because some people hear guns, see guns, talk about guns, and it can engender certain responses; and that may be just an overall feeling as to how one person feels about a gun or it may just be that they're just sick and tired of hearing about guns. And I just want to kind of talk to you guys individually about whether or not you guys can listen to testimony about guns. All right.

The charging document also talks about blunt force trauma, which I'm not going to get into describing right now in this case, but it is something that should also be in your mind if you were to hear something -- if you were thinking that gun or gun violence is something that makes you feel uneasy or could affect your ability to be a law applier or a fact finder, we want to know about that.

Okay. As soon as I talked about guns, I saw some heads shake and move. So I just kind of want to go, and I'll do the left side of the room and

then we'll do the right side of the room over here,

1

25

```
or my left and my right.
 2
              Now, let's go with the first row over here.
 3
          Is there anyone over here that when we talk about
 4
         guns, if you were the hear testimony about guns,
 5
         might affect your ability to listen to the evidence
 6
 7
         in this case?
 8
               THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: No.
              MR. LABRUZZO: Mr -- is it Darner? I saw you
 9
         kind of shaking your head a little bit.
10
11
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR DARNER: No.
12
              MR. LABRUZZO: No? Okay. Just kind of
         nodding along. Okay. Not a problem, sir.
13
14
              Anyone in the second row, if you were to hear
15
         testimony about guns?
16
              THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)
              MR. LABRUZZO: Third row?
17
18
              THE PROSPECTIVE JURY:
                                     (No audible response.)
19
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Fourth row, anyone
20
         by a show of hands?
21
              THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)
22
              MR. LABRUZZO: No.
23
               Fifth row, if anyone were to hear or talk
24
          about guns or gun use involved in the case?
```

THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)

```
1
              MR. LABRUZZO: Sixth row?
              THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)
 2
              MR. LABRUZZO: Anyone in the seventh row, in
 3
          the very back, towards the back, if you were to
 4
         hear testimony about guns, is that something that
 5
 6
         could affect you?
 7
              THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)
 8
              MR. LABRUZZO: No.
              How about in the last row?
 9
              THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)
10
11
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Now to this side.
12
          If anyone were to hear testimony about guns or gun
         violence, is that something that's going to affect
13
14
         you?
               THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: No.
15
16
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Second row?
              THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: No.
17
              MR. LABRUZZO: No.
18
              Third row?
19
20
              THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)
21
              MR. LABRUZZO: And then the fourth row.
22
              THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)
              MR. LABRUZZO: No. Okay.
23
               This being a case where the State has made the
24
25
         allegation of murder, four counts, and we've talked
```

1	about gun violence and you know that that was used
2	in one of the cases, and also blunt force trauma,
3	is that there will be medical testimony as to the
4	cause and manner of death of these individuals.
5	That testimony could be difficult to listen
6	to. All right. You know yourselves. And that may
7	be something that there will be testimony about
8	that, and the injuries sustained by individuals.
9	All right.
10	I'm not going to get into discussing those
11	facts as to exactly what happened because that's
12	not appropriate, but what I do want to talk to you
13	about is whether or not hearing testimony about
14	injuries to another person, is that something
15	that's going to affect your ability to listen to
16	the facts and apply the law.
17	And I will add that in this case that there
18	will be photographs. Okay. That is part of the
19	presentation. All right. Those photographs could
20	affect you in one way, shape or form, and I want to
21	talk about that. Again, I'm not going to get into
22	the actual nature of them, but if they are gruesome

25 It's kind of one of those things that you

affect you. Okay.

23

24

or they show a dead person, how is that going to

probably don't think about, much like many of the

2	topics we're going to discuss. So I want you to
3	kind of dig deep and think about how you would feel
4	if you were to hear testimony about cause and
5	manner of death and see pictures related to cause
6	and manner of death. All right.
7	I'd like to get into one of those discussions
8	I want to do row by row just to make sure everyone
9	gets an opportunity to let me know. So let's talk
10	about the left side of the room. Anyone on the
11	first row thinks they're going to have any problems
12	with listening to testimony regarding that?
13	Mr. Schambeau, do you think you could listen
14	to testimony about that?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SCHAMBEAU: Yes.
16	MR. LABRUZZO: And you think that would
17	present any level of difficulty in listening to
18	what the testimony might be?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SCHAMBEAU: No.
20	MR. LABRUZZO: Do you think that as you lister
21	to the testimony of the medical examiner and saw
22	pictures, that you might me so distracted by the
23	photographs as to not listen to what he was saying?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR SCHAMBEAU: No. I don't
25	think it would affect me.

```
MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Do you think you
         can handle that?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR SCHAMBEAU: Yes. I've dealt
         with that before.
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Based on your
 5
          experience with life, you think you can handle
 6
 7
         that?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR SCHAMBEAU: Yes.
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Thank you, sir.
 9
              Second row. Ms. Stanley.
10
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STANLEY: Yes.
12
              MR. LABRUZZO: Ma'am, do you think you can
         handle that testimony?
13
14
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STANLEY: I believe so.
15
              MR. LABRUZZO: You think so?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STANLEY: Yes.
16
17
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Can you assure us
18
          that you wouldn't feel any level of sympathy or
19
         bias related to the victims in those cases as we're
20
         talking about the photographs, that you could
21
         listen to the testimony and observe the pictures
22
         and determine what the facts are from that
23
         testimony?
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STANLEY: I could, yes.
25
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
```

1	Anyone else in the second row think that they
2	would have any difficulty doing that?
3	THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)
4	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Seeing no hands in
5	the second.
6	I'm going to go to the third row. Same level
7	of questions.
8	Again, here's a name. Mr. Laskaris.
9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR LASKARIS: Yes, sir.
10	MR. LABRUZZO: Do you think you could listen
11	to the testimony and view the photographs as it
12	relates to cause and manner of death in a case like
13	this?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR LASKARIS: Yes.
15	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you, sir.
16	Anyone else in the third row that would have
17	any difficulties with that?
18	THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)
19	MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Moving into the
20	fourth row. Ms. Hendley, how are you doing, ma'am?
21	THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: Good.
22	MR. LABRUZZO: Do you think you could listen
23	to the testimony of the medical examiner and view
24	the photographs in a case like this and pay
25	attention to what the facts are and not allow

prejudice or bias or sympathy affect your ability

```
to determine what the facts are?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HENDLEY: Yes.
 3
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
 4
              Anyone else in that row feel like they would
 5
         have any difficulty in doing that?
 6
 7
               THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)
 8
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Then I'll go to the
         next row. Is it Ms. Eck? How are you doing,
 9
         ma'am?
10
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR ECK: Oh, I'm fine.
12
              MR. LABRUZZO: Good. Same level of questions
          to you. Do you think you can listen to the
13
14
         testimony of the medical examiner and look at
15
          photographs? Do you think that's going to be issue
16
          for you?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR ECK: No.
17
18
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR ECK: It's not an issue at
19
20
         all.
21
              MR. LABRUZZO: Thank you, ma'am.
22
              Anyone else in that row think that they're
23
          going to have any type of difficulty with that?
24
               THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)
25
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Next row.
```

Mr. Storminger.

1

25

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR STORMINGER: Storminger.
              MR. LABRUZZO: Storminger. Thank you. Sorry
 3
          about that, sir.
 4
              Do you think you could listen to the testimony
 5
 6
          and not allow sympathy, bias or prejudice affect
 7
         your ability to listen to that?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STORMINGER: Yes, sir. I
          can listen. I can make a judgment.
 9
10
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Even if the
11
         photographs were particularly gruesome, do you
         think you could do it?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STORMINGER: I've seen
13
14
         pictures of death before. So, you know, it's
15
         not -- I can still make a judgment.
16
              MR. LABRUZZO: I'm sorry, sir. I couldn't
17
         hear what you said.
18
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STORMINGER: I still, you
19
          know, can listen to testimony and then see the
20
         pictures or evidence; but the words coming from the
21
         witnesses, I believe that testimony has no
22
         precedence or more importance.
23
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you, sir. I
24
          appreciate it.
```

Mr. Brash. I'll just go right behind you --

yes, ma'am.

that?

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: I'm assuming that's
 2
         victim were all adults; is that correct?
 3
              MR. LABRUZZO: Well, ma'am, I can't really --
 4
          I'm sorry. Ma'am, could you please stand up and
 5
 6
         tell us your name.
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: I'm assuming that --
 8
              MR. LABRUZZO: I'm sorry, ma'am. Can you tell
         us your name.
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: Cheryl Rein.
10
11
              MR. LABRUZZO: Cheryl Rein. Thank you, ma'am.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: I'm assuming there is
12
13
         no children, correct?
14
              MR. LABRUZZO: Well, ma'am, I can't really get
15
         into the facts of the case as to who or what.
16
              Would it affect your ability if you were to
17
         hear testimony about that?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: If it was a child
18
19
         under the age of 12, yes, probably.
20
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. All right. If it were
21
         an adult, would it affect your ability about it?
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: Adults I probably can
23
         handle.
24
              MR. LABRUZZO: Do you think you can handle
25
```

1	PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: (Indicating
2	affirmatively.)
3	MR. LABRUZZO: And do you think you can handle
4	listening to the evidence as it relates to
5	testimony and photographs from the medical examiner
6	in a case like this?
7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: Yes.
8	MR. LABRUZZO: Well, Ms. Rein, since you bring
9	it up, what if you were to hear testimony of a
10	child involved in the case? All right. I'm not
11	going to get into any particulars because, again, I
12	don't want sway you one way or another.
13	But if you were the hear testimony that there
14	was a child involved in the case in some way, shape
15	or form, just the mere presence of a child through
16	the course of the testimony, is that something that
17	would affect you in your ability to listen to the
18	facts of the case?
19	PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: No. As long as they
20	were not the victim.
21	MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. So you could handle
22	testimony hearing of a child was involved in the
23	case in some way shape or form?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR REIN: Yes.
25	MR. LABRUZZO: Thank you, ma'am, Ms. Rein.

```
I'm just going to depart from my row by row
 1
          just for one second since she brought it up.
 2
               If there was testimony that a child was
 3
          present or involved in this case, is that something
 4
          that's going to affect one's ability to be a fair
 5
 6
          and impartial juror?
 7
               Does anyone here, based on the fact that they
 8
          had kids, work with kids, have grandkids or nieces
          or nephews, is that anything that's going to affect
 9
10
          anyone's ability?
               THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)
11
12
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right.
                                          Thank you.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER:
13
                                          (Indicating.)
14
               MR. LABRUZZO: Yes. I'm sorry, ma'am.
15
          Ms. Cooper. Yes?
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: A kid being abused?
               MR. LABRUZZO: Ma'am, again, it's difficult
17
18
          when I try not to talk about the facts of the case.
19
          So, again, I'm trying to be specific about not
20
          talking about it. I'm just kind of asking the
21
          difficult task if there was a child --
22
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: It would be a
23
          little bit difficult for me.
24
               MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. If you were to hear
25
          testimony that a child was somehow involved in the
```

testimony of the case?

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: Yes, sir.
 2
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Is that specific if the
 3
          child was a victim or not a victim?
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: Both.
 5
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. And you think that that
 6
 7
          would affect your ability to sit and hear other
 8
          testimony involved in this case?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: No. But I would be
 9
10
         very emotional.
11
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. All right. So same
          question I asked you earlier. Can you set aside
12
         your emotions as it relates to that and follow the
13
14
         law?
15
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: Yes, sir.
16
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you,
         Ms. Cooper. I appreciate it.
17
18
              All right. Back to the issue about the
19
          photographs. And I believe I was going to go next
20
         to Mr. Braasch.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR BRAASCH: Braasch.
21
22
              MR. LABRUZZO: Braasch. Close. Sorry.
23
              Mr. Braasch, if you were to hear testimony
24
         related to the cause and manner of death and see
25
         photographs in the case, would that be something
```

```
that would affect your ability to listen to the
          evidence?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR BRAASCH: No. I still would
 3
         have to listen.
 4
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. It's not going to
 5
 6
          inflame you or make you feel one way or another?
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR BRAASCH: No, sir.
 8
              MR. LABRUZZO: You're not going to feel
          sympathetic towards the victims? You promise us
 9
10
         you can just listen to the testimony and determine
11
         what the facts are and then apply the law?
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR BRAASCH: Yes, sir.
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you, sir.
13
14
              Anyone else in that row that would have any
15
         concerns about their ability to do that?
16
               THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)
17
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. And we'll go to the
18
          last row. I'll go -- is it Mr. George?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR GEORGE: Yes.
19
20
              MR. LABRUZZO: How are you doing, sir?
21
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR GEORGE: Good. How are you?
22
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. You're like the
23
          furthest person back from me.
24
               So same questions. If you were to see
25
         photographs related to the cause and manner of
```

death of four victims, okay, do you think you can

1

25

```
listen to the testimony, look at the photographs,
 2
          and determine what the facts are related to that?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR GEORGE: Yes, I can.
 4
 5
               MR. LABRUZZO: Can you promise us that you
 6
          wouldn't be affected either by sympathy, bias or
 7
         prejudice as it relates to any emotions that you
 8
         may feel about those photographs?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR GEORGE: No. It's not
 9
          allowed.
10
11
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you, sir.
12
               Anyone else in that row? And there are only
13
         three of you, I quess.
14
               THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)
15
               MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Thank you.
16
               Coming over here to this side of the room.
17
         Ms. Cooper, I'm just going to ask you just because
18
         it makes sense.
19
               If you were to see or hear testimony related
20
          to photographs, all right, do you think that's
21
          something that would affect your ability to listen
22
          to testimony in this case?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: It will affect me.
24
              MR. LABRUZZO: Right.
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: Very much.

MR. LABRUZZO: All right.

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: Yes.
 2
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. You can set it aside?
 3
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR COOPER: Yes, sir.
 4
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
 5
 6
              Ms. Chamberlain, how are you doing, ma'am?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHAMBERLAIN: Okay.
 7
 8
              MR. LABRUZZO: Ms. Chamberlain, I'm going to
 9
          ask you the same set of questions. If you were to
10
          see photographs related to the cause and manner of
11
         death and hear testimony from the medical examiner
12
          about the injuries sustained by four individuals,
13
         can you set aside any sympathy, bias or prejudice
14
         that you may feel when hearing that testimony and
15
          determine what the facts are and apply the law as
16
         the Court instructs you?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHAMBERLAIN: Yes.
17
18
              MR. LABRUZZO: Do you feel like you can do
19
          that?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHAMBERLAIN: Yes.
21
              MR. LABRUZZO: Do you think that would be a
22
          difficult task for you?
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHAMBERLAIN: No.
24
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. You can do that? You're
25
         up for that task?
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR CHAMBERLAIN: Yes.

1

25

```
MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
 2
              All right. Ms. Hackbarth, did I say that
 3
          correctly?
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: Yes.
 5
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Ms. Hackbarth, how
 6
 7
          are you doing today?
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: Good.
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Ma'am, same
 9
10
          questions to you. If you were to hear testimony
11
          and see photographs, do you think you're going to
         have any difficulty with that?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: No.
13
14
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Do you think you
15
          can listen to the testimony and determine what the
16
          facts are and apply the law?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: Yes.
17
18
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you. Thank
19
         you, ma'am.
              Mr. -- is it Winterbauer?
20
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR WINTERBAUER: Yes.
21
22
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Mr. Winterbauer,
23
          same questions to you. You've heard me say it a
24
         few times.
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: (Indicating.)

1

25

```
MR. LABRUZZO: I'll get to you in one second,
         Mr. Strohman.
              Mr. Winterbauer, the same questions that I've
 3
          asked the panel. Do you think you can listen to
 4
         the testimony and determine what the facts are and
 5
 6
         apply the law?
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR WINTERBAUER: I can
 8
          absolutely.
              MR. LABRUZZO: Do you think that's a difficult
 9
         task for you?
10
11
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR WINTERBAUER: Yes.
12
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. And why.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR WINTERBAUER: Just talking
13
14
         about the visual of seeing it.
15
              MR. LABRUZZO: Well, it could be a lot of
16
         things. Evidence like that and testimony that can
17
         be both visually complex to understand and it can
18
         also be emotional here and it can engender certain
19
         emotional responses. That's why I'm taking the
20
         time to talk about it.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR WINTERBAUER: Yes. I can
21
22
         set it aside.
23
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. All right. Anyone else?
24
         And I'll get to you, Mr. Strohman. Anyone else in
```

the last row that feels like they couldn't hear or

see or talk about that? Anyone else?

```
THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)
 2
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Mr. Strohman, you
 3
         were raising your hand. Do you think that you'd
 4
         have some difficulty in listening and hearing that
 5
 6
         kind of testimony?
 7
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Yes.
 8
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: I don't -- I'm a
 9
         veteran and I've seen enough of that stuff. I went
10
11
         through a war and I don't want to look at any of
12
         that crap.
              MR. LABRUZZO: I understand, sir. We
13
14
          appreciate your service. What branch did you serve
15
         in?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: The Air Force.
16
17
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. If you were to hear
18
          testimony, you think, or see photographs, that
19
          that's going to affect you?
20
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR STROHMAN: Testimony is
21
          fine. Pictures are not fine.
22
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. All right. Thank you,
23
         sir.
24
              All right. So by the nature of the charging
25
         document that the Court read, this happened in
```

1

Pasco County, and there are certain locations I'd

```
like to talk about. And I'm going to name some
 2
          locations, and if you know these locations, I just
 3
          want to know if there's anything about these
 4
          locations that might affect your ability to listen
 5
 6
          to testimony.
 7
               Either you know these places or you live close
 8
          to it, you're a customer, or you know people
          involved that might affect your ability to listen
 9
          to some of the testimony. Okay? And one of those
10
11
          places is an establishment called the Fisherman's
12
          Shack.
13
               Anyone here know the Fisherman's Shack that's
14
          had an experience in the Fisherman's Shack that
15
          might affect your ability to listen to the
16
          testimony in this case?
17
               THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: (No audible response.)
18
               MR. LABRUZZO: I'm not seeing any hands.
19
               Next place is a place called Skinny's Bar.
20
          Know Skinny's Bar? Been to Skinny's Bar? Had some
21
          sort of familiarity with it? If you were to hear
22
          certain testimony --
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR WANAMAKER:
                                            (Indicating.)
24
               MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. Ma'am, I'll get to you
25
          in one second. I see two hands.
```

```
So I'll just start. Ms. Wanamaker.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR WANAMAKER: Skinny's Bar.
 2
              MR. LABRUZZO: How are you doing, ma'am?
 3
          You're familiar with Skinny's Bar?
 4
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR WANAMAKER: Yes.
 5
              MR. LABRUZZO: Have you been there?
 6
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR WANAMAKER: Yes.
 7
 8
              MR. LABRUZZO: If you were hear testimony
 9
          about the bar, people that went there or whatnot,
         would that affect your ability to listen to
10
11
         testimony?
12
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR WANAMAKER: No, it would no.
              MR. LABRUZZO: Any preconceived notions about
13
14
         the bar? Any thoughts about it? Either a good
15
          experience? Bad experience? Anything like that
16
         that would affect your ability to listen to
17
         testimony?
18
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR WANAMAKER: Nothing would
19
          affect me.
20
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
               I saw another hand in the back. I couldn't
21
22
         see.
23
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR COEN: (Indicating.)
24
              MR. LABRUZZO: Yes, ma'am. Is it Ms. Coen?
25
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR COEN: Yes.
```

MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Ms. Coen, how are

```
you doing?
 2
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR COEN: Good.
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Ma'am, I'm going to
 4
          ask that you speak in your best outdoor voice. I
 5
         had a hard time hearing you even that answer.
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR COEN: I've been to the bar
 8
          before, but it wouldn't affect my view on the case
          or listening to testimony or anything.
 9
               MR. LABRUZZO: Perfect. Thank you, ma'am.
10
11
               One of the other places -- yes, ma'am.
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR LEAVY: I know where it is.
          I've never been there or anything like that.
13
14
               MR. LABRUZZO: Stand up, ma'am, since you're
15
          speaking.
16
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR LEAVY: Rosemary Leavy.
17
               MR. LABRUZZO: Thank you.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR LEAVY: And I know where the
18
         bar is.
19
20
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR LEAVY: But I've never been
21
22
          there, nor do I have any preconceived notions.
23
               MR. LABRUZZO: Perfect. Thank you.
24
               The last two places, I'll just do them
25
         together. One is an establishment called Get
```

```
1 Hooked and the other one is a place called
```

- 2 Anchorage Bar.
- 3 All right. Anyone familiar with those
- 4 locations or has some sort of experience that might
- 5 affect them?
- 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WANAMAKER: (Indicating.)
- 7 MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Ma'am, do you live
- 8 in the area of these establishments?
- 9 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WANAMAKER: Yes.
- 10 MR. LABRUZZO: That's fine.
- 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WANAMAKER: I'm just a bar
- 12 fly.
- MR. LABRUZZO: No. Your words, not mine.
- 14 Your words.
- 15 All right. Ma'am, that's okay. So anything
- about those locations that's going to affect your
- ability to listen to evidence?
- 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WANAMAKER: No.
- 19 MR. LABRUZZO: Okay. All right.
- 20 Ms. Wanamaker, I'm going to ask you another
- 21 question, if you can please stand. Sorry.
- 22 So you do live in the area of this happening,
- 23 right?
- 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR WANAMAKER: Yes.
- MR. LABRUZZO: Are you familiar with the

1

address that was discussed in the questionnaire,

```
the address of Hatteras Drive and Old Dixie
 2
          Highway?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR WANAMAKER: No.
 4
 5
              MR. LABRUZZO: You're not. Okay.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR WANAMAKER: I know where Old
 6
 7
          Dixie Highway is.
 8
               MR. LABRUZZO: Right. You're just not
          familiar with any -- again, we're just trying to
 9
          find out if you have any familiarity or heard
10
11
          anything about this case beforehand?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR WANAMAKER: No, I have not.
12
               MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Thank you, ma'am.
13
14
          I appreciate it.
15
               Okay. All right. The Court has taken the
16
          time, and we've kind of either spoken to a number
17
          of you individually, and the Court kind of gave an
18
          instruction earlier that this is a case in which
19
          the State has filed a notice of intent to seek the
20
          death penalty.
21
               The Court has instructed you and read to you
22
          that a potential punishment for the crime of murder
23
          in the first degree is capital punishment and that
24
          is the death penalty. The other potential
25
          punishment is one of life imprisonment without the
```

1 probability of parole. All right.

I'm going to take a few minutes to kind of -probably more than a few minutes to talk about this
with you guys. Okay? And then I'm going to ask
you each some questions about it.

The Court took some time to outline what is the process as it relates to the death penalty. If a jury were to return a unanimous verdict as to guilty as to any one of the four crimes charged here, murder in the first degree, that the potential punishment could be the death penalty, and there would be a separate and distinct phase.

I want to first talk about this second phase, and sometimes we refer to it as the death penalty phase. If you hear us talk about it, that's what we're talking about. One of the things about the penalty phase is that evidence from the first phase of the trial can also be evidence in the second phase of the trial. Okay.

So, again, when we talk about being a good listener, a good fact finder, listening, it's important because that evidence from the first phase of the trial can also be evidence in the second phase of the trial.

Is everyone comfortable with that? Does

everyone, you know, I guess, double promise me that

1

24

25

Ms. Hackbarth?

```
2
         they're really going to pay attention to the
          evidence, because it's something that could be --
 3
         that you won't just forget it when you come back
 4
         with a verdict? Does everyone understand that
 5
         first?
 6
 7
              THE PROSPECTIVE JURY: Yes.
 8
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR TAYLOR: (Indicating.)
              MR. LABRUZZO: Yes, ma'am.
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR TAYLOR: Can we use our
10
11
         notes from the first part of the trial to the
12
         penalty phase?
              MR. LABRUZZO: Well, I'm going to defer to the
13
14
         Court.
15
               THE COURT: Absolutely.
16
              MR. LABRUZZO: There you go. Your name is
17
         Ms. Taylor?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR TAYLOR: Yes.
18
19
              MR. LABRUZZO: All right. Ms. Taylor, thank
20
         you.
21
              Yes, you can use your notes.
22
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: (Indicating.)
23
              MR. LABRUZZO: I see another hand up. Is that
```

PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: Yes.

MR. LABRUZZO: Yes, ma'am.

```
PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: Can I speak to
          the Judge at the bench?
 3
               THE COURT: You need to come up to the bunch?
 4
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: Yes.
 5
 6
               MR. LABRUZZO: Yes, ma'am.
 7
               THE COURT: All right. Ma'am, come on up.
 8
               Why don't we take this as an opportunity --
 9
          we'll speak to her, but everyone else can step out
10
          and use the restroom. Good idea? Everybody can go
11
          ahead and stand up and step into the hallway.
12
          Those of you who need to use the restroom, use the
          restroom. And we'll line you back up.
13
14
               So we're going to just have everybody step out
15
          into the hallway, that way the lawyers and the
16
          bailiffs can use the restroom too, and the clerk.
17
          I'll let you use the restroom too when we're all
18
          done. I promise. Okay?
19
               If you all approach, and then I can let her go
20
          when we're done.
21
     (Bench Conference.)
22
               THE COURT: I figured this was a good time.
               Yes, ma'am.
23
24
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: Okay. When all
25
          this started, I didn't know anything about the
```

1

25

case.

```
THE COURT: Okay.
 2
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: Somebody, I
 3
          don't know who, mentioned Old Dixie Highway.
 4
               THE COURT: Okay. It was on that piece of
 5
          paper that we gave you, that extra piece of paper.
 6
 7
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: It didn't
 8
          trigger it in my head.
               THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.
 9
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: But I think back
10
11
         then I remember the case on the news.
12
               THE COURT: Okay. So this is why we have you
         raise your hand. Good job. Because I know --
13
14
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: But it never --
          I don't know names.
15
16
              THE COURT: Okay.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: But it was Old
17
18
          Dixie Highway. I was, like, wait a minute, I
19
          remember the news back then.
20
               THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to ask you a
21
          question. Obviously, you only remember bits and
22
         pieces and that some people got murdered and it was
23
         all on that street.
24
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: Uh-huh.
```

THE COURT: Could you put aside anything that

```
comes back into your mind, put that aside, and only
 1
          listen to the evidence that is presented by both
 2
          sides in this trial?
 3
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: Yes.
 4
               THE COURT: And only listen to that?
 5
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: Uh-huh.
 6
               THE COURT: Because as we know sometimes the
 7
 8
          news doesn't get it right.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: Right.
 9
10
               THE COURT: Sometimes they only hear the first
11
          part and don't know all the facts.
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: Uh-huh.
               THE COURT: So could you put aside anything
13
14
          that you might remember about Old Dixie Highway or
15
          what you might have read in the paper and just
16
          listen to what happens here in this courtroom if
17
          you're picked as a juror?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH:
18
                                            Yes.
               THE COURT: State?
19
20
              MR. LABRUZZO: No questions.
               THE COURT: Defense?
21
22
               MR. PURA: Do you live near there?
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: No. I just
23
24
          heard about it one day.
```

MR. PURA: So you don't live near Dixie

1

24

25

```
Highway?
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: No.
 3
              MR. PURA: You just recognized the names?
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: Yeah. No, I
 4
          live by the mall.
 5
              MR. PURA: Thank you.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: So there's nothing about it other
 8
          than you wanted to bring it to our attention?
 9
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: Yeah. Because I
         had heard it. But it was like (indicating).
10
11
               THE COURT: That's why I tell people.
12
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: I went like that
         as soon as I -- and I don't know who said it.
13
14
              MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.
15
               THE COURT: Okay. He was just up here a few
16
         minutes ago.
              PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: Yeah. But one
17
18
          of them said it.
               THE COURT: Okay. No problem. Thank you so
19
20
         much for bringing it to our attention.
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: And I wasn't
21
22
          even sure if it was the same one.
23
              THE COURT: Okay.
```

MR. LABRUZZO: Okay.

THE COURT: We're going to have you step out

```
1
         in the hallway and use the restroom if you need to.
 2
               PROSPECTIVE JUROR HACKBARTH: Okay. Thank
 3
         you.
 4
               THE COURT: All right. And we'll bring you
 5
         back.
 6
     (Open Court.)
 7
               THE COURT: I figured it was a good part to
 8
          stop.
 9
              MR. LABRUZZO: No. It was a good part.
10
               THE COURT: Everybody can use the restroom.
11
          We're going to be on a ten-minute break.
12
    (Recess Taken.)
13
14
15
16
                     (CONTINUATION IN VOLUME IX.)
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
2	
3	STATE OF FLORIDA)
4	COUNTY OF PASCO)
5	
6	
7	I, MARIA FORTNER, Registered Professional
8	Reporter for the Sixth Judicial Circuit, do certify that
9	I was authorized to and did stenographically report the
10	foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true
11	record.
12	DATED this 10th day of March, 2019.
13	
14	/S MARIA A. FORTNER MARIA A. FORTNER
15	Registered Professional Reporter
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	